

Curvature and Weitzenbock formula for the Podleś quantum sphere

Bram Mesland \S^* , Adam Rennie \dagger^*

\S Mathematisch Instituut, Universiteit Leiden, Netherlands

\dagger School of Mathematics and Applied Statistics, University of Wollongong
Wollongong, Australia

December 3, 2024

Abstract

We prove that there is a unique Levi-Civita connection on the one-forms of the Dabrowski-Sitarz spectral triple for the Podleś sphere S_q^2 . We compute the full curvature tensor, as well as the Ricci and scalar curvature of the Podleś sphere using the framework of [MRLC]. The scalar curvature is a constant, and as the parameter $q \rightarrow 1$, the scalar curvature converges to the classical value 2. We prove a generalised Weitzenbock formula for the spinor bundle, which differs from the classical Lichnerowicz formula for $q \neq 1$, yet recovers it for $q \rightarrow 1$.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Background	3
2.1	Differentials and junk	3
2.2	Hermitian torsion-free connections	5
2.3	Curvature and Weitzenbock formula	9
3	The Levi-Civita connection for the Podleś sphere	10
3.1	Coordinates, spectral triple and inner product for the Podleś sphere	11
3.2	Frame and quantum metric for the Podleś sphere	13
3.3	Existence of an Hermitian torsion-free connection	15
3.4	Braiding and bimodule connection	20
4	Curvature of the Podleś sphere	22
4.1	The Riemann tensor	22
4.2	The Ricci and scalar curvature	24

*email: b.mesland@math.leidenuniv.nl, renniea@uow.edu.au

5	Weitzenbock formula	26
5.1	Dirac spectral triple	26
5.2	Curvature of the spinor bundle and positivity of the Laplacian	30
A	Uniqueness of Levi-Civita connection	33

1 Introduction

As an example of the constructions presented in [MRLC], we compute the Levi-Civita connection and curvature of the Podleś quantum sphere S_q^2 . The geometry of the Podleś sphere has been investigated by numerous authors [BM2, DS, KW, M, NT, RS, S, SW, W]. One important feature is that the classical ($q = 1$) version has positive curvature, and there is no zero curvature metric, so this represents an example outside the conformally flat setting. Our results are broadly in line with those of the existing algebraic works [BM2, M], with the principal difference being the starting point and the techniques.

We work with the differential one-forms built from the spectral triple [DS] for the Podleś sphere. As is known [SW, W], this differential calculus agrees with the usual abstract differential calculus. The bimodule of one-forms in this case has trivial center, putting it outside of the scope of techniques developed in [BGJ2] to construct a Levi-Civita connection for it. The general theory of [MRLC, MRC] can be applied in this context and the first main result of the paper reads

Theorem 1. *The module of differential one-forms on the Podleś sphere S_q^2 equipped with the quantum metric defined in Section 3.2 admits a unique Levi-Civita connection whose scalar curvature equals $r = [2]_q(1 + (q^{-2} - q^2)^2)$.*

The relevance of this example goes beyond being able to compute junk, exterior derivative and Levi-Civita connection. Unlike classical and θ -deformed manifolds, both the uniqueness of the Levi-Civita connection and the Weitzenbock formula for the Podleś sphere hold with a non-trivial generalised braiding. Thus starting from connections on modules and spectral triples, we arrive at essentially the same structures as the algebraic theory, where such braidings appear naturally for other reasons.

To state a Weitzenbock formula requires a special setting. The Dirac spectral triple of the Podleś sphere involves the Dirac operator \mathcal{D} acting on a spinor module $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}^+ \oplus \mathcal{S}^-$. The generalised Weitzenbock formula involves both the spinor Laplacian $\Delta^{\mathcal{S}}$. Our second main result establishes a close relationship between these operators and the Clifford representation of the curvature of the spinor bundle.

Theorem 2. *The Dirac operator \mathcal{D} and spin Laplacian $\Delta^{\mathcal{S}}$ for the Podleś sphere S_q^2 are related by the Weitzenbock formula*

$$\mathcal{D}^2 = \Delta^{\mathcal{S}} + \frac{1}{q^{-2} + q^2} \begin{pmatrix} q^2 & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-2} \end{pmatrix},$$

and the spin Laplacian $\Delta^{\mathcal{S}}$ is a positive operator.

The matrix operator appearing in the Weitzenbock formula is to be interpreted as acting on the graded module $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}^+ \oplus \mathcal{S}^-$. Thus, the close relationship between the curvature of the spinor module and the curvature of the module of one-forms breaks down for $q \neq 1$. Whilst a Weitzenbock formula holds, the specific Lichnerowicz relationship that $\mathcal{D}^2 = \Delta + \frac{1}{4}r$ where r is the scalar curvature does not hold for $q \neq 1$. That said, as $q \rightarrow 1$, we do recover the classical formula.

Acknowledgements The authors thank the Erwin Schrödinger Institute, Vienna, for hospitality and support during the production of this work. BM thanks the University of Wollongong for hospitality at an early stage of this project. AR thanks the Universiteit Leiden for hospitality in 2022 and 2024. The authors thank Alan Carey, Uli Krähmer, Giovanni Landi and Walter van Suijlekom for valuable discussions, as well as the referees for valuable suggestions.

2 Background

We present a summary of the theoretical framework from [MRLC, MRC] which we use to define and compute the Levi-Civita connection and curvature.

2.1 Differentials and junk

While most of our constructions are purely algebraic, there are two instances where we need some analysis. For this reason we recall a natural class of dense $*$ -subalgebras of C^* -algebras. See [B98, Section 3.1] and [BM2, Section 3.3.1].

Definition 2.1. Let B be a C^* -algebra. A $*$ -subalgebra $\mathcal{B} \subset B$ is *local* if \mathcal{B} is dense in B and if for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the $*$ -subalgebra $M_n(\mathcal{B}) \subset M_n(B)$ is spectral invariant.

The assumption that $\mathcal{B} \subset B$ is local holds for many algebras \mathcal{B} , such as smooth functions on a manifold. The notion of local algebra is not necessary for our basic definitions, but later we will restrict to local algebras, and so work in this context throughout.

Throughout this article we are looking at the differential structure provided by a spectral triple. See [MRLC, Examples 2.4–2.6].

Definition 2.2. Let B be a C^* -algebra. A spectral triple for the unital $*$ -algebra B is a triple $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ where $\mathcal{B} \subset B$ is a local [MRLC, Definition 2.1] dense $*$ -subalgebra, \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert space equipped with a $*$ -representation $B \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$, and \mathcal{D} an unbounded self-adjoint operator $\mathcal{D} : \text{dom}(\mathcal{D}) \subset \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that for all $a \in \mathcal{B}$

$$a \cdot \text{dom}(\mathcal{D}) \subset \text{dom}(\mathcal{D}) \quad \text{and} \quad [\mathcal{D}, a] \text{ is bounded,}$$

and $(\mathcal{D} \pm i)^{-1}$ is compact.

We never require the compact resolvent condition. **FLAG**

The completion of the $*$ -algebra \mathcal{B} in the norm $b \mapsto \|b\| + \|[\mathcal{D}, b]\|$ is always local, [BC91], and so there is no loss of generality in assuming locality of \mathcal{B} . In this paper we study the polynomial algebra of the Podleś sphere, which is not local, but has such a local completion.

FLAG

Given a spectral triple $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$, the module of one-forms is the \mathcal{B} -bimodule

$$\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B}) := \text{span} \{a[\mathcal{D}, b] : a, b \in \mathcal{B}\} \subset \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}).$$

We obtain a first order differential calculus $d : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B})$ by setting $d(b) := [\mathcal{D}, b]$. This calculus carries an involution $(a[\mathcal{D}, b])^\dagger := [\mathcal{D}, b]^* a^*$ induced by the operator adjoint. Thus $(\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B}), \dagger)$ is a first order differential structure in the sense of [MRLC].

We recollect some of the constructions of [MRLC] for $(\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B}), \dagger)$. Write $T_{\mathcal{D}}^k(\mathcal{B}) := \Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B})^{\otimes_{\mathcal{B}} k}$ and $\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B})^k = \text{span}\{b_0[\mathcal{D}, b_1] \cdots [\mathcal{D}, b_k] : b_j \in \mathcal{B}\}$. The universal differential forms $\Omega_u^*(\mathcal{B})$ [L96] admit representations (with $\delta(b) = 1 \otimes b - b \otimes 1$ for $b \in \mathcal{B}$)

$$\pi_{\mathcal{D}} : \Omega_u^k(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{D}}^k(\mathcal{B}) \quad \pi_{\mathcal{D}}(a_0 \delta(a_1) \cdots \delta(a_k)) = a_0 [\mathcal{D}, a_1] \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} [\mathcal{D}, a_k], \quad (2.1)$$

$$m \circ \pi_{\mathcal{D}} : \Omega_u^k(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^k(\mathcal{B}) \quad m \circ \pi_{\mathcal{D}}(a_0 \delta(a_1) \cdots \delta(a_k)) = a_0 [\mathcal{D}, a_1] \cdots [\mathcal{D}, a_k], \quad (2.2)$$

where $m : T_{\mathcal{D}}^k(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^k(\mathcal{B})$ is the multiplication map. Neither $\pi_{\mathcal{D}}$ nor $m \circ \pi_{\mathcal{D}}$ are maps of differential algebras, but are \mathcal{B} -bilinear maps of associative $*$ - \mathcal{B} -algebras, [Lan, MRLC]. The $*$ -structure on $\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^*(\mathcal{B})$ is determined by the adjoint of linear maps on \mathcal{H} , while the $*$ -structure on $\oplus_k T_{\mathcal{D}}^k(\mathcal{B})$ is given by the operator adjoint and

$$(\omega_1 \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \omega_2 \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \omega_k)^\dagger := \omega_k^* \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \cdots \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \omega_2^* \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \omega_1^*.$$

We will write $\omega^\dagger := \omega^*$ for one forms ω as well.

The maps $\pi_{\mathcal{D}} : \Omega_u^*(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{D}}^*$ and $\delta : \Omega_u^k(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \Omega_u^{k+1}(\mathcal{B})$ are typically not compatible in the sense that δ need not map $\ker \pi_{\mathcal{D}}$ to itself. Thus in general, $T_{\mathcal{D}}^*(\mathcal{B})$ can not be made into a differential algebra. The issue to address is that there are universal forms $\omega \in \Omega_u^n(\mathcal{B})$ for which $\pi_{\mathcal{D}}(\omega) = 0$ but $\pi_{\mathcal{D}}(\delta(\omega)) \neq 0$. The latter are known as *junk forms*, [C, Chapter VI]. We denote the \mathcal{B} -bimodules of junk forms by

$$J_{\mathcal{D}}^k(\mathcal{B}) = \{m \circ \pi_{\mathcal{D}}(\delta(\omega)) : m \circ \pi_{\mathcal{D}}(\omega) = 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad JT_{\mathcal{D}}^k(\mathcal{B}) = \{\pi_{\mathcal{D}}(\delta(\omega)) : \pi_{\mathcal{D}}(\omega) = 0\}.$$

Observe that the junk submodules only depend on the representation of the universal forms.

Definition 2.3. A second order differential structure $(\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1, \dagger, \Psi)$ is a first order differential structure $(\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B}), \dagger)$ together with an idempotent $\Psi : T_{\mathcal{D}}^2 \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{D}}^2$ satisfying $\Psi \circ \dagger = \dagger \circ \Psi$ and $JT_{\mathcal{D}}^2(\mathcal{B}) \subset \text{Im}(\Psi) \subset m^{-1}(J_{\mathcal{D}}^2(\mathcal{B}))$. A second order differential structure is Hermitian if $\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B})$ is a finitely generated projective right \mathcal{B} -module with right inner product $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$, such that $\Psi = \Psi^2 = \Psi^*$ is a projection, and the left action of \mathcal{B} is adjointable.

A second order differential structure admits an exterior derivative $d_{\Psi} : \Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{D}}^2(\mathcal{B})$ via

$$d_{\Psi}(\rho) = (1 - \Psi) \circ \pi_{\mathcal{D}} \circ \delta \circ \pi_{\mathcal{D}}^{-1}(\rho). \quad (2.3)$$

The differential satisfies $d_\Psi([\mathcal{D}, b]) = 0$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}$. A differential on one-forms allows us to define curvature for modules, and formulate torsion for connections on one-forms.

For an Hermitian differential structure $(\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B}), \dagger, \Psi, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$, the module of one-forms $\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B})$ is also a finite projective left module [MRLC, Lemma 2.12] with inner product ${}_{\mathcal{B}}\langle \omega | \rho \rangle = \langle \omega^\dagger | \rho^\dagger \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$. Thus all tensor powers $T_{\mathcal{D}}^k(\mathcal{B})$ carry right and left inner products. Inner products on $\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^k(\mathcal{B})$ do not arise automatically.

The two inner products on $\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B})$ give rise to equivalent norms on $\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B})$, and using results of [KPW], $\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B})$ is a bi-Hilbertian bimodule of finite index. To explain what this means for us, recall [FL02] that a (right) frame for $\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B})$ is a (finite) collection of elements (ω_j) that satisfy

$$\rho = \sum_j \omega_j \langle \omega_j | \rho \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$$

for all $\rho \in \Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B})$. A finite projective bi-Hilbertian module has a “line element” or “quantum metric” [BM2] given by

$$G = \sum_j \omega_j \otimes \omega_j^\dagger. \quad (2.4)$$

The line element G is independent of the choice of frame, is central, meaning that $bG = Gb$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}$, and

$$\text{span}_{\mathcal{B}} \left\{ \sum_j \omega_j \otimes \omega_j^\dagger : \text{for any frame } (\omega_j) \right\}$$

is a complemented submodule of $T_{\mathcal{D}}^2$. The inner product is computed via

$$-g(\omega \otimes \rho) := \langle G | \omega \otimes \rho \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} = \langle \omega^\dagger | \rho \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}. \quad (2.5)$$

Such bilinear inner products appear in [BM2, BGJ2, BGJ1]. The element

$$e^\beta := \sum_j {}_{\mathcal{B}}\langle \omega_j | \omega_j \rangle = -g(G) \in \mathcal{B} \quad (2.6)$$

is independent of the choice of right frame, and is central, positive and invertible (provided the left action of \mathcal{B} on $\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B})$ is faithful [KPW, Corollary 2.28]), and we define the normalised version of G by $Z = e^{-\beta/2} \sum_j \omega_j \otimes \omega_j^\dagger$.

2.2 Hermitian torsion-free connections

Our standard references for connections on modules are [BM2, Lan]. A right connection on a right \mathcal{B} -module \mathcal{X} is a \mathbb{C} -linear map

$$\overrightarrow{\nabla} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1, \quad \text{such that} \quad \overrightarrow{\nabla}(xa) = \overrightarrow{\nabla}(x)a + x \otimes [\mathcal{D}, a].$$

There is a similar definition for left connections on left modules. Connections always exist on finite projective modules, [Lan].

Given a connection $\overrightarrow{\nabla}$ on a right inner product \mathcal{B} -module \mathcal{X} we say that $\overrightarrow{\nabla}$ is Hermitian [Lan, Equation (7.42)], [MRLC, Definition 2.23] if for all $x, y \in \mathcal{X}$ we have

$$-\langle \overrightarrow{\nabla}x \mid y \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} + \langle x \mid \overrightarrow{\nabla}y \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} = [\mathcal{D}, \langle x \mid y \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}],$$

where $\langle x \otimes d(b) \mid y \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} = d(b)^* \langle x \mid y \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$, $\langle x \mid y \otimes d(b) \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} = \langle x \mid y \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} d(b)$. For left connections we instead require (with similar definitions to the right case, [Lan])

$${}_B \langle \overleftarrow{\nabla}x \mid y \rangle - {}_B \langle x \mid \overleftarrow{\nabla}y \rangle = [\mathcal{D}, {}_B \langle x \mid y \rangle].$$

If furthermore \mathcal{X} is a \dagger -bimodule [MRLC, Definition 2.8] such as $\mathcal{X} = T_{\mathcal{D}}^k$, then for each right connection $\overrightarrow{\nabla}$ on \mathcal{X} there is a conjugate left connection $\overleftarrow{\nabla}$ given by $\overleftarrow{\nabla} = -\dagger \circ \overrightarrow{\nabla} \circ \dagger$ which is Hermitian if and only if $\overrightarrow{\nabla}$ is Hermitian.

Example 2.4. Given a (right) frame $v = (x_j) \subset \mathcal{X}$ for a \dagger -bimodule \mathcal{X} we obtain left- and right Grassmann connections via

$$\overleftarrow{\nabla}^v(x) := [\mathcal{D}, {}_B \langle x \mid x_j^\dagger \rangle] \otimes x_j^\dagger, \quad \overrightarrow{\nabla}^v(x) := x_j \otimes [\mathcal{D}, \langle x_j \mid x \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}], \quad x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

The Grassmann connections are Hermitian and conjugate, that is $\overleftarrow{\nabla}^v = -\dagger \circ \overrightarrow{\nabla}^v \circ \dagger$. A pair of conjugate connections on \mathcal{X} are both Hermitian if and only if for any right frame (x_j) [MRLC, Proposition 2.30]

$$\overrightarrow{\nabla}(x_j) \otimes x_j^\dagger + x_j \otimes \overleftarrow{\nabla}(x_j^\dagger) = 0. \quad (2.7)$$

The differential (2.3) allows us to ask whether a connection on $\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B})$ is torsion-free, meaning [MRLC, Section 4.1] that for any frame

$$1 \otimes (1 - \Psi)(\overrightarrow{\nabla}(\omega_j) \otimes \omega_j^\dagger + \omega_j \otimes d_{\Psi}(\omega_j^\dagger)) = 0.$$

For a Hermitian right connection, being torsion-free is equivalent to $(1 - \Psi) \circ \overrightarrow{\nabla} = -d_{\Psi}$. For the conjugate left connection this becomes $(1 - \Psi) \circ \overleftarrow{\nabla} = d_{\Psi}$, [MRLC, Proposition 4.5].

Definition 2.5. A frame (ω_j) for $\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B})$ is *exact* if there exist $b_j \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\omega_j = [\mathcal{D}, b_j]$.

The existence result we will employ for Hermitian torsion-free connections is tied to the existence of an exact, or even closed, frame for the one-forms.

Theorem 2.6. [MRLC, Theorem 4.7, Corollary 4.9] *Let $(\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1, \dagger, \Psi, \langle \cdot \mid \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{B}})$ be an Hermitian differential structure. Suppose there is an exact frame $v = (\omega_j)$ for $\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1$. Then for the Grassmann connection $\overrightarrow{\nabla}^v : \Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1$ of the frame (ω_j) there is an equality of \mathcal{B} -bimodules*

$$JT_{\mathcal{D}}^2 = \mathcal{B} \cdot \overrightarrow{\nabla}^v(d(\mathcal{B})) \cdot \mathcal{B}. \quad (2.8)$$

Moreover the Grassmann connection $\overrightarrow{\nabla}^v$ is Hermitian and torsion-free.

For uniqueness, which we discuss in the Appendix, we need the definition of a special kind of bimodule connection.

Definition 2.7. Suppose that $\sigma : T_{\mathcal{D}}^2(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{D}}^2(\mathcal{B})$ is an invertible bimodule map such that $\dagger \circ \sigma = \sigma^{-1} \circ \dagger$ and such that the conjugate connections $\overrightarrow{\nabla}, \overleftarrow{\nabla}$ satisfy

$$\sigma \circ \overrightarrow{\nabla} = \overleftarrow{\nabla}.$$

Then we say that σ is a braiding and that $(\overrightarrow{\nabla}, \sigma)$ is a \dagger -bimodule connection.

Under some further technical assumptions on the Hermitian differential structure [MRLC, Sections 4,5], an Hermitian torsion-free σ -bimodule connection is unique if it exists. See Theorem A.3 in the Appendix for details on uniqueness.

2.3 Curvature and Weitzenbock formula

Given a second order differential structure, the curvature of connections can be defined in the well-known algebraic manner. For Hermitian differential structures, can also define Ricci- and scalar curvature. Curvature and the square of the Dirac operator are related via a Weitzenbock formula on a class of Dirac spectral triples which encode the key features of Dirac bundles over manifolds.

Definition 2.8. Given a second order differential structure $(\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B}), \dagger, \Psi)$ we set

$$\Lambda_{\mathcal{D}}^2(\mathcal{B}) := (1 - \Psi)T_{\mathcal{D}}^2(\mathcal{B}).$$

To define curvature, we require the second order differential $d_{\Psi} : \Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1 \rightarrow \Lambda_{\mathcal{D}}^2$, but not any higher degree forms. For curvature, then, the classical definition is available.

Definition 2.9. If $(\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B}), \dagger, \Psi)$ is a second order differential structure, define the curvature of any right connection $\overrightarrow{\nabla}$ on a finite projective right module $\mathcal{X}_{\mathcal{B}}$ to be

$$R^{\overrightarrow{\nabla}}(x) = (1 \otimes (1 - \Psi)) \circ (\overrightarrow{\nabla} \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes d_{\Psi}) \circ \overrightarrow{\nabla}(x) \in \mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \Lambda_{\mathcal{D}}^2(\mathcal{B}), \quad x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

For a connection $\overleftarrow{\nabla}$ on a left module ${}_{\mathcal{B}}\mathcal{X}$ we define the curvature to be

$$R^{\overleftarrow{\nabla}}(x) = ((1 - \Psi) \otimes 1) \circ (1 \otimes \overleftarrow{\nabla} - d_{\Psi} \otimes 1) \circ \overleftarrow{\nabla}(x) \in \Lambda_{\mathcal{D}}^2(\mathcal{B}) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{X}, \quad x \in \mathcal{X}.$$

Lemma 2.10. *If $v = (x_j)$ is a right frame for \mathcal{X} and $\overrightarrow{\nabla}^x = \overrightarrow{\nabla}^v$ the associated Grassmann connection then*

$$R^{\overrightarrow{\nabla}^x}(x) = 1 \otimes (1 - \Psi) \left(x_k \otimes [\mathcal{D}, \langle x_k | x_j \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}] \otimes [\mathcal{D}, \langle x_j | x_p \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}] \langle x_p | x \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} \right)$$

Similarly if $v = (x_j)$ is a left frame for a left module \mathcal{X} and $\overleftarrow{\nabla}^x = \overleftarrow{\nabla}^v$ then

$$R^{\overleftarrow{\nabla}^x}(x) = (1 - \Psi) \otimes 1 \left({}_{\mathcal{B}}\langle x | x_p \rangle [\mathcal{D}, {}_{\mathcal{B}}\langle x_p | x_j \rangle] \otimes [\mathcal{D}, {}_{\mathcal{B}}\langle x_j | x_k \rangle] \otimes x_k \right).$$

If \mathcal{X} is a \dagger -bimodule, the corresponding elements in $\mathcal{X} \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \Lambda_{\mathcal{D}}^2 \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{X}$ are

$$R^{\overrightarrow{\nabla}^x} = x_k \otimes (1 - \Psi) ([\mathcal{D}, \langle x_k | x_j \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}] \otimes [\mathcal{D}, \langle x_j | x_p \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}]) \otimes x_p^{\dagger},$$

and

$$R^{\overleftarrow{\nabla}^x} = x_p^{\dagger} \otimes (1 - \Psi) ([\mathcal{D}, {}_{\mathcal{B}}\langle x_p | x_j \rangle] \otimes [\mathcal{D}, {}_{\mathcal{B}}\langle x_j | x_k \rangle]) \otimes x_k.$$

Proof. This follows directly from [MRC, Proposition 3.3]. \square

Definition 2.11. Let $(\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B}), \dagger, \Psi, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$ be a Hermitian differential structure and $\overrightarrow{\nabla}$ a right connection on $\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1$ with curvature $R^{\overrightarrow{\nabla}} \in \Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B}) \otimes \Lambda_{\mathcal{D}}^2(\mathcal{B}) \otimes \Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B})$. The Ricci curvature of $\overrightarrow{\nabla}$ is

$$\text{Ric}^{\overrightarrow{\nabla}} = {}_{\mathcal{B}}\langle R^{\overrightarrow{\nabla}} | G \rangle \in T_{\mathcal{D}}^2(\mathcal{B})$$

and the scalar curvature is

$$r^{\overrightarrow{\nabla}} = \langle G | \text{Ric}^{\overrightarrow{\nabla}} \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

These definitions mirror those of [BM2] and references therein, and agree when both apply.

We now recall from [MRC] a class of spectral triples for which the Weitzenbock formula holds. Given a left inner product module \mathcal{X} and a positive functional $\phi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathcal{X}, \phi)$ is the completion of \mathcal{X} in the scalar product $\langle x, y \rangle := \phi({}_{\mathcal{B}}\langle x | y \rangle)$.

Definition 2.12. Let $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ be a spectral triple equipped with a braided Hermitian differential structure $(\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B}), \dagger, \Psi, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle, \sigma)$. Then $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ is a *Dirac spectral triple* relative to $(\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B}), \dagger, \Psi, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle, \sigma)$ if

1. for $\omega, \eta \in \Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B})$ we have

$$(m \circ \Psi)(\rho \otimes \eta) = e^{-\beta} m(G) \langle \rho^\dagger | \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} = -e^{-\beta} m(G) g(\rho \otimes \eta); \quad (2.9)$$

2. there is a left inner product module \mathcal{X} over \mathcal{B} and a positive functional $\phi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathcal{X}, \phi)$ and the natural map $c : \Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B}) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} L^2(\mathcal{X}, \phi) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{X}, \phi)$ restricts to a map $c : \Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B}) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$;
3. there is a left connection $\overleftarrow{\nabla}^x : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B}) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{X}$ such that $\mathcal{D} = c \circ \overleftarrow{\nabla}^x : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{X}, \phi)$;
4. there is an Hermitian torsion free \dagger -bimodule connection $(\overrightarrow{\nabla}^G, \sigma)$ on $\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1$ such that

$$\mathcal{D}(\omega x) = c \circ \overleftarrow{\nabla}^x(c(\omega \otimes x)) = c \circ (m \circ \sigma \otimes 1)(\overrightarrow{\nabla}^G(\omega) \otimes x + \omega \otimes \overleftarrow{\nabla}^x(x)) \quad (2.10)$$

We note that for spectral triples of Dirac-type operators on Riemannian manifolds we have $e^{-\beta} m(G) = \text{Id}$, [MRC, Lemma 4.4]. A spectral triple satisfying the conditions has a natural connection Laplacian Δ^x , [MRC, Definition 4.3], defined on $x \in \mathcal{X}$ by

$$\Delta^x(x) = e^{-\beta} m(G) \langle G | (\overrightarrow{\nabla}^G \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \overleftarrow{\nabla}^x) \circ \overleftarrow{\nabla}^x(x) \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}, \quad (2.11)$$

and there is a Weitzenbock formula relating \mathcal{D}^2 and Δ^x .

Theorem 2.13. *Let $(\mathcal{B}, L^2(\mathcal{X}, \phi), \mathcal{D})$ be a Dirac spectral triple relative to the braided Hermitian differential structure $(\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B}), \dagger, \Psi, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle, \sigma)$, and let Δ^x be the connection Laplacian of the left connection $\overleftarrow{\nabla}^x$. If $m \circ \sigma \circ \Psi = m \circ \Psi$ and $\Psi(G) = G$ then*

$$\mathcal{D}^2(x) = \Delta^x(x) + c \circ (m \circ \sigma \otimes 1)(R^{\overleftarrow{\nabla}^x}(x)). \quad (2.12)$$

In Section 5 we will check that these conditions hold for the Podleś sphere spectral triple, and then derive the Weitzenbock formula in that case. We also use [MRC, Corollary 4.9] to show that $\Delta^x \geq 0$.

3 The Levi-Civita connection for the Podleś sphere

For the remainder of this paper we will study connections on the one-forms $\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B}) = \{\sum a^i[\mathcal{D}, b^i] : a^i, b^i \in \mathcal{B}\}$ of a specific spectral triple $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$. Here \mathcal{B} is the (coordinate algebra of) the Podleś sphere, which we describe along with the spectral triple below. In the Appendix we will require a completion of \mathcal{B} to use the framework of [MRLC] to address the uniqueness of the algebraic Hermitian torsion-free connection we construct below.

3.1 Coordinates, spectral triple and inner product for the Podleś sphere

We start with the polynomial algebra $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{O}(SU_q(2))$ on quantum $SU(2)$ spanned by the matrix elements t_{ij}^l , with $l \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$ and $i, j \in \{-l, -l+1, \dots, l-1, l\}$. While the coproduct is easy to describe in this picture

$$\Delta(t_{ij}^l) = \sum_k t_{ik}^l \otimes t_{kj}^l,$$

the product involves (quantum) Clebsch-Gordan coefficients. We summarise the basic algebraic relations we require using the conventions of [RS]. The generators and relations of $\mathcal{O}(SU_q(2))$ are

$$\begin{aligned} ab &= qba, & ac &= qca, & bd &= qdb, & cd &= qdc, & bc &= cb \\ ad &= 1 + qbc, & da &= 1 + q^{-1}bc \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

with adjoints

$$a^* = d, \quad b^* = -qc, \quad c^* = -q^{-1}b, \quad d^* = a \quad (3.2)$$

The relation to the matrix elements of the defining corepresentation is

$$a = t_{-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad b = t_{-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad c = t_{\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad d = t_{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.3)$$

The C^* -algebra $C(SU_q(2))$ and polynomial algebra $\mathcal{O}(SU_q(2))$ carry a one parameter group of automorphisms which for $s \in \mathbb{R}$ is given by $U_s(t_{ij}^l) = q^{\sqrt{-1}sj}t_{ij}^l$. The one parameter group is periodic and thus gives an action of the circle \mathbb{T} . The fixed point C^* -algebra $C(SU_q(2))^{\mathbb{T}}$ for this circle action is the C^* -algebra $C(S_q^2)$ of the Podleś sphere,

$$C(S_q^2) := C(SU_q(2))^{\mathbb{T}} \subset C(SU_q(2)).$$

For future reference we recall the definition of the q -numbers

$$[x]_q = \frac{q^{-x} - q^x}{q^{-1} - q}.$$

The Podleś sphere $\mathcal{B} := \mathcal{O}(S_q^2) \subset C(S_q^2)$ is the polynomial dense $*$ -subalgebra of $C(S_q^2)$ spanned by the matrix elements t_{i0}^l . The generators of the Podleś sphere are

$$A = -q^{-1}bc = c^*c = t_{1/2, -1/2}^{1/2*} t_{1/2, -1/2}^{1/2} = q^{-2} t_{-1/2, 1/2}^{1/2} t_{-1/2, 1/2}^{1/2*} = -q^{-1} [2]_q^{-1} t_{00}^1$$

$$\begin{aligned}
B &= ac^* = -q^{-1}ab = t_{-1/2,-1/2}^{1/2} t_{1/2,-1/2}^{1/2*} = -q^{-1/2} [2]_q^{-1/2} t_{-10}^1 \\
B^* &= cd = t_{1/2,-1/2}^{1/2} t_{1/2,1/2}^{1/2} = q^{-1/2} t_{1/2,-1/2}^{1/2} t_{-1/2,-1/2}^{1/2*} = [2]_q^{-1/2} q^{1/2} t_{10}^1.
\end{aligned}$$

The equalities with matrix elements t_{k0}^1 come from Clebsch-Gordan relations summarised in [S, Appendix A]. The generators A, B, B^* obey the relations

$$BA = q^2 AB, \quad AB^* = q^2 B^* A, \quad B^* B = A - A^2, \quad BB^* = q^2 A - q^4 A^2.$$

The spinor module $S = S^+ \oplus S^-$ is realised as the direct sum of the finitely generated projective modules $S^\pm := P_\pm C(S_q^2)^{\oplus 2}$. In our conventions [S, Section 4.3] the projections P_\pm are given by

$$P_+ = \begin{pmatrix} t_{1/2,1/2}^{1/2} t_{1/2,1/2}^{1/2*} & t_{1/2,1/2}^{1/2} t_{-1/2,1/2}^{1/2*} \\ t_{-1/2,1/2}^{1/2} t_{1/2,1/2}^{1/2*} & t_{-1/2,1/2}^{1/2} t_{-1/2,1/2}^{1/2*} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - A & -B^* \\ -B & q^2 A \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$P_- = 1 - P_+ = \begin{pmatrix} t_{1/2,-1/2}^{1/2} t_{1/2,-1/2}^{1/2*} & t_{1/2,-1/2}^{1/2} t_{-1/2,-1/2}^{1/2*} \\ t_{-1/2,-1/2}^{1/2} t_{1/2,-1/2}^{1/2*} & t_{-1/2,-1/2}^{1/2} t_{-1/2,-1/2}^{1/2*} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & B^* \\ B & 1 - q^2 A \end{pmatrix}.$$

Observe that $P_+ + P_- = \text{Id}_2$ and $P_+ P_- = 0$. Hence $\text{End}_{C(S_q^2)}(S^+ \oplus S^-) \cong M_2(C(S_q^2))$.

The (smooth sections of the) spinor bundle over the Podleś sphere is the module

$$\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{S}^+ \oplus \mathfrak{S}^- = \text{span}\{(t_{i,1/2}^l b_+, t_{i,-1/2}^l b_-)^T : b_\pm \in \mathcal{B}\},$$

with (right) \mathcal{B} -valued inner product

$$\langle (w_+, w_-)^T | (z_+, z_-)^T \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} = w_+^* z_+ + w_-^* z_-.$$

The formula for the multiplication in terms of Clebsch-Gordan coefficients shows that \mathfrak{S} is also a left \mathcal{B} -module. Together with the Haar state $h : C(SU_q(2)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ we can then build a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = L^2(S, h)$ which carries a left representation of \mathcal{B} (see [S] for formulae in our conventions).

The natural Dirac operator yielding a spectral triple $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$ is [DS, NT]

$$\mathcal{D} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial_e \\ \partial_f & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\partial_e t_{i,j}^l = \sqrt{[l+1/2]_q^2 - [j+1/2]_q^2} t_{i,j+1}^l$ and $\partial_f t_{i,j}^l = \sqrt{[l+1/2]_q^2 - [j-1/2]_q^2} t_{i,j-1}^l$.

We will abbreviate the coefficients in ∂_e, ∂_f as $\kappa_k^l = \sqrt{[l+1/2]_q^2 - [k-1/2]_q^2}$.

With $\partial_k(t_{ij}^l) := q^j t_{ij}^l$, and $a, b \in \mathcal{O}(SU_q(2))$ we have

$$\partial_e(ab) = \partial_e(a)\partial_k(b) + \partial_k^{-1}(a)\partial_e(b) \quad \partial_f(ab) = \partial_f(a)\partial_k(b) + \partial_k^{-1}(a)\partial_f(b). \quad (3.4)$$

For $b \in \mathcal{B}$ we have $\partial_e \partial_f(b) = \partial_f \partial_e(b)$. For $b \in \mathcal{B}$, the commutator of \mathcal{D} with the left multiplication by b is

$$d(b) := [\mathcal{D}, b] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{-1/2} \partial_e(b) \\ q^{1/2} \partial_f(b) & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.5)$$

We denote by $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}) \subset \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ the ‘‘Clifford algebra’’ generated by \mathcal{B} and commutators $[\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{B}]$. We define an operator-valued weight $\Phi : \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ by

$$\Phi(\rho) = \text{Tr} \left(\begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \rho \right).$$

The functional Φ gives a right inner product $\langle \rho | \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} := \Phi(\rho^* \eta)$ on the Clifford algebra which restricts to one-forms as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [\mathcal{D}, b_1] a_1 | [\mathcal{D}, b_2] a_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} &= a_1^* \text{Tr} \left(\begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix} [\mathcal{D}, b_1]^\dagger [\mathcal{D}, b_2] \right) a_2 \\ &= a_1^* (q^2 \partial_f(b_1)^* \partial_f(b_2) + q^{-2} \partial_e(b_1)^* \partial_e(b_2)) a_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Other metrics could be considered, and are in [BM2, 8.47], but we will focus on this one. This metric reduces to the round metric on S^2 for $q = 1$, and like the classical round metric, we will see that the metric (3.6) enjoys an exact frame.

Remark 3.1. For $q = 1$ we should consider $\Phi(T) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}(T)$ for $T \in \text{End}_{\mathbb{B}}^*(S)$ so that $\Phi(b) = b$ for $b \in \mathcal{B}$. This is to access the actual (inverse) metric via $\Phi((dx^\mu)^* dx^\nu) = g^{\mu\nu}$. This means that our current definition scales the inverse metric by 2, and so the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ by $\frac{1}{2}$. This is compatible with using the Dolbeault Laplacian $(\sqrt{2}(\partial + \bar{\partial}))^2$, [H05, Section 3.1]. The combined effect of not normalising Φ and using the Dolbeault Dirac cancel out, and we will see that the inner product on one-forms corresponds to the metric of radius 1 when $q = 1$.

We recall the following facts as we will use them extensively in the computations to come:

$$(t_{ij}^l)^* = (-q)^{j-i} t_{-i, -j}^l \quad \text{and} \quad t_{ij}^l = (-q)^{j-i} (t_{-i, -j}^l)^*. \quad (3.7)$$

$$\delta_{ij} = \sum_{p=-l}^l (t_{pi}^l)^* t_{pj}^l = \sum_{p=-l}^l t_{ip}^l (t_{jp}^l)^* \quad \text{Orthogonality relations.} \quad (3.8)$$

$$\kappa_1^1 = \kappa_0^1 = [2]_q^{1/2} = \sqrt{q + q^{-1}} \quad \kappa_1^l = \kappa_0^l. \quad (3.9)$$

Lemma 3.2. *Using the spectral triple $(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{D})$, we define $d : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B})$ as $d(b) = [\mathcal{D}, b]$. Then $\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B})$ is a first order differential structure and a \dagger -bimodule.*

3.2 Frame and quantum metric for the Podleś sphere

We now define a finite exact frame for $\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B})$. We will use this frame in all our computations.

Lemma 3.3. *A frame for the right \mathcal{B} -module $\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B})$ is given by the three module elements*

$$\omega_j = q^{-2+j}(\kappa_1^1)^{-1}[\mathcal{D}, t_{-2+j,0}^1] = q^{-2+j} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{-1/2}t_{-2+j,1}^1 \\ q^{1/2}t_{-2+j,-1}^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.10)$$

$$= (-1)^{1-j} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{1/2}(t_{2-j,-1}^1)^* \\ q^{-1/2}(t_{2-j,1}^1)^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3. \quad (3.11)$$

Proof. We first compute ω_j^\dagger using the formula for adjoints (3.7) and the orthogonality relations (3.8), finding that

$$\omega_j^\dagger = (-1)^{1-j} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{-1/2}t_{2-j,1}^1 \\ q^{1/2}t_{2-j,-1}^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.12)$$

Then for $\rho = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \rho_+ \\ \rho_- & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B})$ the orthogonality relations (3.8) and definition of the adjoints (3.7) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j \omega_j \langle \omega_j | \rho \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} &= \sum_j \omega_j q^{-2+j} (q^{3/2}(t_{-2+j,-1}^1)^* \rho_- + q^{-3/2}(t_{-2+j,1}^1)^* \rho_+) \\ &= \sum_j q^{-4+2j} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & qt_{-2+j,1}^1 (t_{-2+j,-1}^1)^* \rho_- + q^{-2}t_{-2+j,1}^1 (t_{-2+j,1}^1)^* \rho_+ \\ q^2 t_{-2+j,-1}^1 (t_{-2+j,-1}^1)^* \rho_- + q^{-1}t_{-2+j,-1}^1 (t_{-2+j,1}^1)^* \rho_+ & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \sum_j q^{-4+2j} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q(-q)^{4-2j} (t_{2-j,-1}^1)^* t_{2-j,1}^1 \rho_- + q^{-2}(-q)^{6-2j} (t_{2-j,-1}^1)^* t_{2-j,-1}^1 \rho_+ \\ q^2 (-q)^{2-2j} (t_{2-j,1}^1)^* t_{2-j,1}^1 \rho_- + q^{-1}(-q)^{4-2j} (t_{2-j,1}^1)^* t_{2-j,-1}^1 \rho_+ & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \sum_j \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q(t_{2-j,-1}^1)^* t_{2-j,1}^1 \rho_- + (t_{2-j,-1}^1)^* t_{2-j,-1}^1 \rho_+ \\ (t_{2-j,1}^1)^* t_{2-j,1}^1 \rho_- + q^{-1}(t_{2-j,1}^1)^* t_{2-j,-1}^1 \rho_+ & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \rho_+ \\ \rho_- & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \rho. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.4. *The Watatani index $e^\beta := \sum_j {}_{\mathcal{B}} \langle \omega_j | \omega_j \rangle$ [KPW] of $\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B})$ is $e^\beta := q^2 + q^{-2}$ and the line element (or quantum metric [BM2]) is*

$$G = \sum_j \omega_j \otimes \omega_j^\dagger = \sum_j q \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (t_{2-j,-1}^1)^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t_{2-j,-1}^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + q^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ (t_{2-j,1}^1)^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_{2-j,1}^1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Normalising gives $Z := e^{-\beta/2}G$ and $z := m(Z) = e^{-\beta/2} \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$.

Proof. The first statement comes from Equations (3.11) and (3.12) for the frame elements, and the orthogonality relations (3.8). For instance, the cross-term vanishes because for any $j, k = 1, 2, 3$, we have $t_{2-k,-1}^1 (t_{2-j,-1}^1)^* \in \mathcal{B}$ and so

$$\sum_j \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (t_{2-j,-1}^1)^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_{2-j,1}^1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{j,k} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (t_{2-k,-1}^1)^* t_{2-k,-1}^1 (t_{2-j,-1}^1)^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_{2-j,1}^1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{j,k} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (t_{2-k,-1}^1)^* t_{2-k,-1}^1 (t_{2-j,-1}^1)^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_{2-j,1}^1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \sum_{j,k} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (t_{2-k,-1}^1)^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_{2-k,-1}^1 (t_{2-j,-1}^1)^* t_{2-j,1}^1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

the last line following from the orthogonality relations. The other cross-term vanishes similarly. Applying the multiplication map gives

$$\sum_j \omega_j \omega_j^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

and applying Φ gives $\sum_j \mathbb{B} \langle \omega_j | \omega_j \rangle = q^2 + q^{-2}$. \square

Observe that $\langle Z | Z \rangle_{\mathbb{B}}^{T_{\mathbb{D}}^2} = 1$, so that $|Z\rangle\langle Z|$ is the orthogonal projection onto span G .

Using the orthogonality relations as in (3.13), we see that

$$\sum_i t_{j1}^l (t_{i1}^l)^* [\mathcal{D}, t_{i0}^l] = q^{-2+i-1/2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_{j1}^l \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.14}$$

and similarly

$$\sum_i t_{j,-1}^l (t_{i,-1}^l)^* [\mathcal{D}, t_{i0}^l] = q^{-2+i+1/2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t_{j,-1}^l & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.15}$$

One can now deduce that $\Omega_{\mathbb{D}}^1(\mathbb{B})$ is a direct sum of two ‘‘line bundles’’ given by the ± 1 spectral subspaces of the circle action defining \mathbb{B} . Indeed, $\mathcal{O}(SU_q(2)) = \bigoplus_{k \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{L}_k$ is a sum of line bundles and we have shown that $\Omega_{\mathbb{D}}^1(\mathbb{B}) = \mathcal{L}_1 \oplus \mathcal{L}_{-1}$, and by definition $S_{\pm} = \mathcal{L}_{\pm 1/2}$.
cite many Landi, Francesca papers etc

3.3 Existence of an Hermitian torsion-free connection

Since our frame consists of exact one-forms (i.e. of the form $[\mathcal{D}, b]$ for $b \in \mathbb{B}$), Theorem 2.10 applies to $\Omega_{\mathbb{D}}^1(\mathbb{B})$. Hence the junk two-tensors are given by the bimodule generated by $\vec{\nabla}^G \circ d(\mathbb{B})$ where $\vec{\nabla}^G$ is the Grassmann connection of the exact frame. Moreover, we know from $\vec{\nabla}^G$ is Hermitian and in order to establish that it is also torsion-free, it suffices to show that $JT_{\mathbb{D}}^2 \subset T_{\mathbb{D}}^2$ is a complemented submodule. Thus our immediate aim is to compute the Grassmann connection on exact forms, and determine the junk bimodule and its complement.

Since $\Omega_{\mathbb{D}}^1 \cong \mathcal{L}_1 \oplus \mathcal{L}_{-1}$ we find that $T_{\mathbb{D}}^2 \cong \mathbb{B} \oplus \mathbb{B} \oplus \mathcal{L}_2 \oplus \mathcal{L}_{-2}$. Thus a two-tensor of the form $\vec{\nabla}^G([\mathcal{D}, b])$ will be a sum of degree 0, 2 and -2 components. In fact the splitting of $\mathbb{B} \oplus \mathbb{B}$ is not the most obvious one, and the next result picks out a component proportional to G .

FLAG

Lemma 3.5. *The Grassmann connection $\vec{\nabla}^G$ of the frame from Lemma 3.3 applied to an exact form $[\mathcal{D}, b]$ is*

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\nabla}^G([\mathcal{D}, b]) &= G\partial_e\partial_f(b) + \sum_{j,k} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (t_{2-j,-1}^1)^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_{2-j,-1}^1(t_{k,-2}^2)^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} t_{k,-2}^2\partial_e^2(b) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j,k} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ (t_{2-j,1}^1)^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t_{2-j,1}^1(t_{k,2}^2)^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} t_{k,2}^2\partial_f^2(b). \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Proof. From the proof of Lemma 3.3

$$\langle \omega_j \mid [\mathcal{D}, b] \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} = q^{-2+j} (q^2 t_{-2+j,-1}^{1*} \partial_f(b) + q^{-2} t_{-2+j,1}^{1*} \partial_e(b)),$$

or after computing adjoints of matrix elements

$$\langle \omega_j \mid [\mathcal{D}, b] \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} = (-1)^{1-j} (q t_{2-j,1}^1 \partial_f(b) + q^{-1} t_{2-j,-1}^1 \partial_e(b)).$$

Using Equation (3.4), the relations $\partial_e(t_{m,1}^1) = \partial_f(t_{m,-1}^1) = 0$, and the formulae for adjoints, we get

$$\partial_e(\langle \omega_j \mid [\mathcal{D}, b] \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}) = (-1)^{1-j} t_{2-j,1}^1 \partial_e \partial_f(b) + (-1)^{1-j} \kappa_0^1 t_{2-j,0}^1 \partial_e(b) + (-1)^{1-j} t_{2-j,-1}^1 \partial_e^2(b)$$

and

$$\partial_f(\langle \omega_j \mid [\mathcal{D}, b] \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}) = (-1)^{1-j} t_{2-j,-1}^1 \partial_f \partial_e(b) + (-1)^{1-j} \kappa_1^1 t_{2-j,0}^1 \partial_f(b) + (-1)^{1-j} t_{2-j,1}^1 \partial_f^2(b).$$

Thus

$$[\mathcal{D}, \langle \omega_j \mid [\mathcal{D}, b] \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}] = \omega_j^* \partial_e \partial_f(b) + (-1)^{1-j} \kappa_1^1 t_{2-j,0}^1 [\mathcal{D}, b] + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{-1/2} (-1)^{1-j} t_{2-j,-1}^1 \partial_e^2(b) \\ q^{1/2} (-1)^{1-j} t_{2-j,1}^1 \partial_f^2(b) & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next observe that

$$(-1)^{1-j} \omega_j = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{-1/2} (-1)^{1-j} q^{-2+j} t_{-2+j,1}^1 \\ q^{1/2} (-1)^{1-j} q^{-2+j} t_{-2+j,-1}^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{1/2} t_{2-j,-1}^{1*} \\ q^{-1/2} t_{2-j,1}^{1*} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and so the orthogonality relations (3.8) yield

$$\sum_j (-1)^{1-j} \omega_j t_{2-j,0}^1 = 0.$$

As $\partial_e \partial_f(b) = \partial_f \partial_e(b)$ for $b \in \mathcal{B}$, using orthogonality to remove cross terms as in (3.13) we find that $\vec{\nabla}^G([\mathcal{D}, b])$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j \omega_j \otimes [\mathcal{D}, \langle \omega_j \mid [\mathcal{D}, b] \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}] &= \sum_j \omega_j \otimes \omega_j^* \partial_e \partial_f(b) + \omega_j \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{-1/2} (-1)^{1-j} t_{2-j,-1}^1 \partial_e^2(b) \\ q^{1/2} (-1)^{1-j} t_{2-j,1}^1 \partial_f^2(b) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= G\partial_e \partial_f(b) + \sum_{j,k} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (t_{2-j,-1}^1)^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_{2-j,-1}^1 (t_{k,-2}^2)^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} t_{k,-2}^2 \partial_e^2(b) \\ &\quad + \sum_{j,k} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ (t_{2-j,1}^1)^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t_{2-j,1}^1 (t_{k,2}^2)^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} t_{k,2}^2 \partial_f^2(b). \end{aligned}$$

the last equality following from the orthogonality relations (3.8), with $k = -2, -1, 0, 1, 2$. \square

The two families

$$\sum_j \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (t_{2-j,-1}^1)^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_{2-j,-1}^1 (t_{k,-2}^2)^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sum_j \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ (t_{2-j,1}^1)^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t_{2-j,1}^1 (t_{k,2}^2)^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

are mutually orthogonal and are contained in $\ker(m : T_{\mathcal{D}}^2 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^2(\mathcal{B}))$. Both families of two-tensors are orthogonal to the line element G as well, and we will now use them to construct a frame for $JT_{\mathcal{D}}^2$.

We may identify $\text{End}_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{S})$ with a subset of $M_2(\mathcal{A})$, where $\mathcal{A} \subset C(SU_q(2))$ is the coordinate algebra of $SU_q(2)$. This is done by identifying $\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B})$ with off-diagonal matrices, with the E_{12} component of degree 1 with respect to the circle action defining \mathcal{B} , and the E_{21} component of degree -1. We can also identify $\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} \Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1$ with sums of tensor products of off-diagonal matrices in $M_2(\mathcal{A}) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} M_2(\mathcal{A})$, but now the degrees can be $-2, 0, 2$.

Lemma 3.6. *The \mathcal{B} -bimodules $X, Y \subset T_{\mathcal{D}}^2$ of degree $-2, 2$ elements respectively have frames*

$$Y_k = q \sum_j \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (t_{2-j,-1}^1)^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_{2-j,-1}^1 (t_{k,-2}^2)^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$X_k = q^{-1} \sum_j \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ (t_{2-j,1}^1)^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t_{2-j,1}^1 (t_{k,2}^2)^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For any two-tensor $\rho \otimes \eta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \rho_+ \\ \rho_- & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \eta_+ \\ \eta_- & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in T_{\mathcal{D}}^2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Y_k | \rho \otimes \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} &= qt_{k,-2}^2 \langle E_{12} \otimes E_{12} | \rho \otimes \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} = q^{-1} t_{k,-2}^2 \rho_+ \eta_+ \quad \text{and} \\ \langle X_k | \rho \otimes \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} &= q^{-1} t_{k,2}^2 \langle E_{21} \otimes E_{21} | \rho \otimes \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} = qt_{k,2}^2 \rho_- \eta_- \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

where E_{ij} are standard matrix units, and on the right we take the inner product on $M_2(\mathcal{A}) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} M_2(\mathcal{A})$ to be defined by Equation (3.6). Moreover $\langle Y_k | X_l \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} = 0$ for each k, l . Hence the junk bimodule $JT_{\mathcal{D}}^2$ is the \mathcal{B} -span of G and the X_k, Y_k .

Proof. The vectors X_k, Y_k are in $T_{\mathcal{D}}^2$ by Equation (3.16), and the inner product calculations (3.17) are straightforward, as is $\langle Y_k | X_l \rangle = 0$. The Clebsch-Gordan relations tell us that $T_{\mathcal{D}}^2$ breaks up as homogenous components of degrees $-2, 0, 2$. Let $\rho \otimes \eta = \rho_- E_{21} \otimes \eta_- E_{21}$ be an element of degree -2. Then since $t_{2-j,1}^1 \rho_- \in \mathcal{B}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=-2}^2 X_k \langle X_k | \rho \otimes \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &= q^{-2} \sum_{k=-2}^2 \sum_{j=1}^3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ (t_{2-j,1}^1)^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t_{2-j,1}^1 (t_{k,2}^2)^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} t_{k,2}^2 \langle E_{21} \otimes E_{21} | \rho \otimes \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} \\ &= \sum_{k=-2}^2 \sum_{j=1}^3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ (t_{2-j,1}^1)^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t_{2-j,1}^1 (t_{k,2}^2)^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} t_{k,2}^2 \rho_- \eta_- \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{j=1}^3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ (t_{2-j,1}^1)^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t_{2-j,1}^1 \rho_- \eta_- & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^3 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ (t_{2-j,1}^1)^* t_{2-j,1}^1 \rho_- & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \eta_- & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \rho_- & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \eta_- & 0 \end{pmatrix}.
\end{aligned}$$

A similar calculation proves the result for Y_k . \square

The next Proposition identifies the orthogonal complement of $JT_{\mathcal{D}}^2$. In particular, we produce a two-tensor C such that the copy (up to isomorphism) of $\mathcal{B} \oplus \mathcal{B}$ in $T_{\mathcal{D}}^2$ is provided by $\mathcal{B}\text{-span}(G) \oplus \mathcal{B}\text{-span}(C)$. Our method for obtaining C utilises the non-trivial modular structure of the Podleś sphere and its Haar state.

The spectral triple for the Podleś sphere we are using has spectral dimension 0, and the Podleś sphere has Hochschild and cyclic homological dimensions zero. When considered as a modular spectral triple, the spectral dimension is 2 [KW, RS], and likewise when the modular automorphism of the Haar state is used to define twisted Hochschild and cyclic homologies of the Podleś sphere, the homological dimension is 2, [H, HK, K].

We will use Chern character techniques, due to Wagner in this setting [W], to find a two-tensor which will have non-trivial twisted Hochschild class, and so provide a sensible starting point for finding a non-trivial two-form.

FLAG

Proposition 3.7. *The two-tensors $T_{\mathcal{D}}^2$ can be decomposed as an orthogonal direct sum*

$$T_{\mathcal{D}}^2 = X \oplus Y \oplus \text{span}(G) \oplus \text{span}(C)$$

where the two-tensor C satisfies that $\langle C | C \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} = \alpha$ is a scalar. The differential d_{Ψ} is defined using the projection $1 - \Psi = \frac{1}{\alpha} |C\rangle \langle C|$, and is given by

$$d_{\Psi}(a[\mathcal{D}, b]) = \frac{q}{2} C(q^{-1} \partial_e(a) \partial_f(b) - q \partial_f(a) \partial_e(b)), \quad a, b \in \mathcal{B}.$$

In particular, $(\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B}), \dagger, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle, \Psi)$ is an Hermitian differential structure.

Proof. To find a non-trivial non-junk two-form, we follow Wagner [W] and construct a non-trivial two-form from the twisted (by the modular group action) Chern character $\text{Ch}_2(P_+)$ of the projection defining positive spinors P_+ . According to [S, Section 4.3],

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Ch}_2(P_+) &= -2 \sum_{k_0, k_1, k_2=0}^1 q^{-2k_0} \\
&\left(t_{1/2-k_0, 1/2}^{1/2} t_{1/2-k_1, 1/2}^{1/2*} - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{k_0, k_1} \right) \otimes t_{1/2-k_1, 1/2}^{1/2} t_{1/2-k_2, 1/2}^{1/2*} \otimes t_{1/2-k_2, 1/2}^{1/2} t_{1/2-k_0, 1/2}^{1/2*}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the definitions we can compute

$$\partial_b(t_{1/2-k, 1/2}^{1/2} t_{1/2-h, 1/2}^{1/2*}) = \begin{cases} -q^{1/2} t_{1/2-k, 1/2}^{1/2} t_{1/2-h, -1/2}^{1/2*} & b = e \\ q^{-1/2} t_{1/2-k, -1/2}^{1/2} t_{1/2-h, 1/2}^{1/2*} & b = f \end{cases}. \quad (3.18)$$

A computation using the orthogonality relations (3.8) and Equation (3.5) shows that

$$\pi_{\mathcal{D}}(\text{Ch}_2(P_+)) = \sum_{k_0, k_2=0}^1 q^{-2k_0} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t^{1/2} & t^{1/2-k_0, 1/2} t^{1/2-k_2, -1/2} \\ t^{1/2} & t^{1/2-k_0, -1/2} t^{1/2-k_2, 1/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -t^{1/2} & t^{1/2-k_2, 1/2} t^{1/2-k_0, -1/2} \\ t^{1/2} & t^{1/2-k_2, -1/2} t^{1/2-k_0, 1/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.19)$$

Since, for example, $t^{1/2}_{1/2-k_2, -1/2} t^{1/2*}_{1/2-k_0, 1/2} t^{1*}_{2-j, -1} \in \mathcal{B}$, we can use the orthogonality (3.8) and adjoint (3.7) relations to see that

$$\pi_{\mathcal{D}}(\text{Ch}_2(P_+)) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t^{1*}_{2-j, -1} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t^{1*}_{2-j, -1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} - q^{-2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t^{1*}_{2-j, 1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t^{1*}_{2-j, 1} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.20)$$

To obtain a non-trivial two-form orthogonal to Z , we define

$$C := \pi_{\mathcal{D}}(\text{Ch}_2(P_+)) - Z \langle Z | \pi_{\mathcal{D}}(\text{Ch}_2(P_+)) \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} = \pi_{\mathcal{D}}(\text{Ch}_2(P_+)) - \frac{1}{q^2 + q^{-2}} \omega_l \otimes \omega_l^\dagger \langle \rho_{(1)}^\dagger | \rho_{(2)} \rangle_{\mathcal{B}},$$

where we have written $\pi_{\mathcal{D}}(\text{Ch}_2(P_+)) = \sum \rho_{(1)} \otimes \rho_{(2)}$ in Sweedler notation. Since we have

$$\langle C | C \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} = \langle \rho_{(2)} | \langle \rho_{(1)} | \rho_{(1)} \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} \rho_{(2)} \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} - \frac{1}{q^2 + q^{-2}} \langle \rho_{(2)} | \rho_{(1)}^\dagger \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} \langle \rho_{(1)}^\dagger | \rho_{(2)} \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$$

we need to compute some inner products. Using (3.20) the first is given by

$$\langle \rho_{(1)}^\dagger | \rho_{(2)} \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} = \text{Tr} \left(\begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \rho_{(1)} \rho_{(2)} \right) = q^{-1} (q^2 - q^{-2}),$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \pi_{\mathcal{D}}(\text{Ch}_2(P_+)) + q^{-1} \frac{q^{-2} - q^2}{q^{-2} + q^2} \omega_l \otimes \omega_l^\dagger \\ &= \frac{2q^{-1}}{q^{-2} + q^2} \left(q^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t^{1*}_{2-j, -1} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t^{1*}_{2-j, -1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} - q \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t^{1*}_{2-j, 1} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t^{1*}_{2-j, 1} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

The remaining inner products are computed similarly, and

$$\alpha = \langle C | C \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} = q^{-2} (q^2 + q^{-2}) - \frac{1}{q^2 + q^{-2}} q^{-2} (q^2 - q^{-2})^2 = \frac{4q^{-2}}{q^2 + q^{-2}} \quad (3.22)$$

is a scalar. Hence

$$\frac{1}{\alpha} |C\rangle \langle C|$$

is a rank one projection, and of course C is orthogonal to Z . Note that $\alpha \rightarrow 2$ as $q \rightarrow 1$.

To complete the proof it suffices to show that C is orthogonal to the kernel of the multiplication map. With X_k, Y_k as in Lemma 3.6 a direct computation shows that

$$\langle Y_k | C \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} = \langle X_k | C \rangle_{\mathcal{A}} = 0.$$

The formula for d_{Ψ} follows from the definition. □

Since the inner product extends to the whole Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B})$, we can apply the orthogonality relations and perform the sums to see that inner products with C are the same, in the sense of Lemma 3.6, as inner products with

$$\tilde{C} = \frac{2q^{-1}}{q^2 + q^{-2}}(q^{-1}E_{12} \otimes E_{21} - qE_{21} \otimes E_{12}). \quad (3.23)$$

Likewise inner products with G are the same as inner products with

$$\tilde{G} = qE_{12} \otimes E_{21} + q^{-1}E_{21} \otimes E_{12} \quad (3.24)$$

Neither \tilde{C} nor \tilde{G} is in $T_{\mathcal{D}}^2$, though the shorthand is useful for computations of inner products with C and G .

Combining Theorem 2.10 and Proposition 3.7 proves

Theorem 3.8. *The right Grassmann connection $\vec{\nabla}^G$ of the frame from Lemma 3.3 is Hermitian and torsion-free.*

To prove uniqueness, we need to prove concordance and \dagger -concordance, as well as have a suitable bimodule connection. We will leave most of the uniqueness proof to the Appendix, but the braiding which yields a \dagger -bimodule connection is presented next.

3.4 Braiding and bimodule connection

Next we show that the left and right Grassmann connections are a \dagger -bimodule connection.

Definition 3.9. Define $\sigma : T_{\mathcal{D}}^2 \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{D}}^2$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(Y_k) &= q^{-2}Y_k, & \sigma(X_k) &= q^2X_k, \\ \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & (t_{2-j,-1}^1)^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t_{2-j,-1}^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) &= q^{-2} \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ (t_{2-j,1}^1)^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_{2-j,1}^1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right), \\ \sigma\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ (t_{2-j,1}^1)^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_{2-j,1}^1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) &= q^2 \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & (t_{2-j,-1}^1)^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t_{2-j,-1}^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and extend as a bimodule map. Observe that $\sigma^2 \neq 1$ on $X \oplus Y$.

Remark 3.10. In [KLS, Lemma 3.6] a braiding on tensor powers of holomorphic line bundles/modules on the Podleś sphere is introduced. It would be of interest to see if restricting their braiding to these particular modules coincides with the braiding σ of Definition 3.9.

Using Equation (3.16) we determine that

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\nabla}^G([\mathcal{D}, b]a) &= G\partial_e\partial_f(b)a + q^{-1}Y_k t_{2-k,-2}^2 \partial_e^2(b)a + qX_k t_{2-k,2}^2 \partial_f^2(b)a + [\mathcal{D}, b] \otimes [\mathcal{D}, a] \\ &= G\partial_e\partial_f(b)a + q^{-1}Y_k t_{2-k,-2}^2 \partial_e^2(b)a + qX_k t_{2-k,2}^2 \partial_f^2(b)a \\ &\quad + q^{-2}Y_k t_{2-k,-2}^2 \partial_e(b)\partial_e(a) + q^2X_k t_{2-k,2}^2 \partial_f(b)\partial_f(a) \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (t_{2-j,-1}^1)^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t_{2-j,-1}^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \partial_e(b) \partial_f(a) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ (t_{2-j,1}^1)^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_{2-j,1}^1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \partial_f(b) \partial_e(a) \\
& \hspace{15em} (3.26)
\end{aligned}$$

using the frame $\{X_k, Y_k, Z\}$ described in the last section.

Proposition 3.11. *The conjugate left connection $\overleftarrow{\nabla}^G := -\dagger \circ \overrightarrow{\nabla}^G \circ \dagger$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}
\overleftarrow{\nabla}^G([\mathcal{D}, b]a) &= [\mathcal{D}, \mathbb{B}\langle[\mathcal{D}, b]a \mid \omega_j^*\rangle] \otimes \omega_j^* \\
&= G \partial_f \partial_e(b)a + q^3 X_k t_{2-k,2}^2 \partial_f^2(b)a + q^{-3} Y_k t_{2-k,-2}^2 \partial_e^2(b)a + q^4 X_k t_{2-k,2}^2 \partial_f(b) \partial_f(a) \\
&+ q^{-4} Y_k t_{2-k,-2}^2 \partial_e(b) \partial_e(a) + q^2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (t_{2-j,-1}^1)^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t_{2-j,-1}^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \partial_f(b) \partial_e(a) \\
&+ q^{-2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ (t_{2-j,1}^1)^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_{2-j,1}^1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \partial_e(b) \partial_f(a). \\
& \hspace{15em} (3.27)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We start with computing $\overleftarrow{\nabla}^G$ on exact forms. First, the definition yields

$$\mathbb{B}\langle[\mathcal{D}, b] \mid \omega_j^\dagger\rangle = (-1)^{1-j} (\partial_e(b)(t_{2-j,1}^1)^* + \partial_f(b)(t_{2-j,-1}^1)^*).$$

Next using the twisted derivation rule (3.4) and the orthogonality relations (3.8) in the same way as in Section 3.3 we find

$$\begin{aligned}
& [\mathcal{D}, \mathbb{B}\langle[\mathcal{D}, b] \mid \omega_j^\dagger\rangle] \otimes \omega_j^\dagger \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{-3/2} \partial_e^2(b) \\ q^{-1/2} \partial_f \partial_e(b) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{-1/2} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{1/2} \partial_e \partial_f(b) \\ q^{3/2} \partial_f^2(b) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ q^{1/2} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&= G \partial_f \partial_e(b) + q^3 X_k t_{2-k,2}^2 \partial_f^2(b) + q^{-3} Y_k t_{2-k,-2}^2 \partial_e^2(b),
\end{aligned}$$

where we also used $\partial_e \partial_f(b) = \partial_f \partial_e(b)$ for $b \in \mathbb{B}$. Now use the Leibniz rule to find

$$\begin{aligned}
\overleftarrow{\nabla}^G([\mathcal{D}, b]a) &= \overleftarrow{\nabla}^G([\mathcal{D}, ba]) - \overleftarrow{\nabla}^G(b[\mathcal{D}, a]) \\
&= \overleftarrow{\nabla}^G([\mathcal{D}, ba]) - b \overleftarrow{\nabla}^G([\mathcal{D}, a]) - [\mathcal{D}, b] \otimes [\mathcal{D}, a] \\
&= G(\partial_f \partial_e(ba) - b \partial_f \partial_e(a)) + q^3 X_k t_{2-k,2}^2 (\partial_f^2(ba) - b \partial_f^2(a)) \\
&+ q^{-3} Y_k t_{2-k,-2}^2 (\partial_e^2(ba) - b \partial_e^2(a)) - [\mathcal{D}, b] \otimes [\mathcal{D}, a].
\end{aligned}$$

Using the twisted derivation rule (3.4) yields the three relations

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_f \partial_e(ba) - b \partial_f \partial_e(a) &= \partial_f \partial_e(b)a + q \partial_f(b) \partial_e(a) + q^{-1} \partial_e(b) \partial_f(a) \\
\partial_f^2(ba) - b \partial_f^2(a) &= \partial_f^2(b)a + (q + q^{-1}) \partial_f(b) \partial_f(a) \\
\partial_e^2(ba) - b \partial_e^2(a) &= \partial_e^2(b)a + (q + q^{-1}) \partial_e(b) \partial_e(a).
\end{aligned}$$

Together with expanding the $[\mathcal{D}, b] \otimes [\mathcal{D}, a]$ term

$$[\mathcal{D}, b] \otimes [\mathcal{D}, a] = q^{-2} Y_k t_{2-k,-2}^2 \partial_e(b) \partial_e(a) + q^2 X_k t_{2-k,2}^2 \partial_f(b) \partial_f(a)$$

$$+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (t_{2-j,-1}^1)^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t_{2-j,-1}^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \partial_e(b) \partial_f(a) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ (t_{2-j,1}^1)^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_{2-j,1}^1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \partial_f(b) \partial_e(a),$$

we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \overleftarrow{\nabla}^G([\mathcal{D}, b]a) &= G \partial_f \partial_e(b) a + q^3 X_k t_{2-k,2}^2 \partial_f^2(b) a + q^{-3} Y_k t_{2-k,-2}^2 \partial_e^2(b) a \\ &+ G(q \partial_f(b) \partial_e(a) + q^{-1} \partial_e(b) \partial_f(a)) + q^3 X_k t_{2-k,2}^2 (q + q^{-1}) \partial_f(b) \partial_f(a) \\ &+ q^{-3} Y_k t_{2-k,-2}^2 (q + q^{-1}) \partial_e(b) \partial_e(a) - q^{-2} Y_k t_{2-k,-2}^2 \partial_e(b) \partial_e(a) - q^2 X_k t_{2-k,2}^2 \partial_f(b) \partial_f(a) \\ &- \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (t_{2-j,-1}^1)^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t_{2-j,-1}^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \partial_e(b) \partial_f(a) - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ (t_{2-j,1}^1)^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_{2-j,1}^1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \partial_f(b) \partial_e(a) \\ &= G \partial_f \partial_e(b) a + q^3 X_k t_{2-k,2}^2 \partial_f^2(b) a + q^{-3} Y_k t_{2-k,-2}^2 \partial_e^2(b) a + q^4 X_k t_{2-k,2}^2 \partial_f(b) \partial_f(a) \\ &+ q^{-4} Y_k t_{2-k,-2}^2 \partial_e(b) \partial_e(a) + q^2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (t_{2-j,-1}^1)^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t_{2-j,-1}^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \partial_f(b) \partial_e(a) \\ &+ q^{-2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ (t_{2-j,1}^1)^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_{2-j,1}^1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \partial_e(b) \partial_f(a). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Comparing Equations (3.26) and (3.27) we obtain

Proposition 3.12. *The conjugate pair of connections $\overrightarrow{\nabla}^G$ and $\overleftarrow{\nabla}^G$ satisfy $\sigma \circ \overrightarrow{\nabla}^G = \overleftarrow{\nabla}^G$.*

Remark 3.13. It is worth noting that $\sigma(G) = G$, but

$$\sigma(C) = -C + 2q^{-1} \frac{q^{-2} - q^2}{q^{-2} + q^2} G. \quad (3.28)$$

Since we only require that $(1 - \Psi)\sigma \overrightarrow{\nabla}^G = -(1 - \Psi)\overrightarrow{\nabla}^G$ for compatibility with the torsion-free condition. To see that (3.28) is compatible with the torsion-free condition, we need only check that $\frac{1}{\alpha}|C\rangle\langle C|\sigma(C) = -C$. This in turn follows from the definition of the inner product, and the orthogonality of C and G . Moreover on $\text{span}(C, G)$ we have $\sigma^2 = \text{Id}$.

In Theorem A.8 of the Appendix, we prove that in fact the pair $(\overrightarrow{\nabla}^G, \sigma)$ is the unique Hermitian torsion-free σ - \dagger -bimodule connection.

4 Curvature of the Podleś sphere

We now have all the requisite structure to compute the curvature of the unique Hermitian torsion-free connection $\overrightarrow{\nabla}^G$ on the Podleś sphere.

4.1 The Riemann tensor

We first compute the full Riemann tensor following Definition 2.13 in Section 2.3. Since we are dealing with a Grassmann connection, we will use the explicit formulae of Lemma 2.14.

Theorem 4.1. *The Riemann tensor of the Podleś sphere is*

$$R = \frac{[2]_q}{2} q \omega_i \otimes C \otimes \begin{pmatrix} q^{-2} & 0 \\ 0 & -q^2 \end{pmatrix} \omega_i^\dagger = \frac{[2]_q}{2} q \omega_i \otimes C \otimes \omega_i^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} -q^2 & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. We start by computing inner products of frame elements and their differentials. First

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \omega_j \mid \omega_k \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} \\ &= q^{-4+j+k} \operatorname{Tr} \left(\begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{1/2} t_{-2+j,-1}^{1*} \\ q^{-1/2} t_{-2+j,1}^{1*} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{-1/2} t_{-2+k,1}^1 \\ q^{1/2} t_{-2+k,-1}^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= q^{-4+j+k} (q^2 t_{-2+j,-1}^{1*} t_{-2+k,-1}^1 + q^{-2} t_{-2+j,1}^{1*} t_{-2+k,1}^1) \\ &= (-1)^{1-j} q^{-1+k} t_{2-j,1}^1 t_{-2+k,-1}^1 + (-1)^{1-j} q^{-3+k} t_{2-j,-1}^1 t_{-2+k,1}^1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

Then we apply the twisted Leibniz rule and $\partial_e(t_{x,1}^1) = \partial_f(t_{x,-1}^1) = 0$ to find

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{D}, \langle \omega_j \mid \omega_k \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}] &= (-1)^{1-j} q^{-1+k} [\mathcal{D}, t_{2-j,1}^1 t_{-2+k,-1}^1] + (-1)^{1-j} q^{-3+k} [\mathcal{D}, t_{2-j,-1}^1 t_{-2+k,1}^1] \\ &= (-1)^{1-j} q^{-1+k} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{-1/2} \partial_e(t_{2-j,1}^1 t_{-2+k,-1}^1) \\ q^{1/2} \partial_f(t_{2-j,1}^1 t_{-2+k,-1}^1) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad + (-1)^{1-j} q^{-3+k} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{-1/2} \partial_e(t_{2-j,-1}^1 t_{-2+k,1}^1) \\ q^{1/2} \partial_f(t_{2-j,-1}^1 t_{-2+k,1}^1) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (-1)^{1-j} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{-5/2+k} \kappa_0^1 t_{2-j,1}^1 t_{-2+k,0}^1 \\ q^{-3/2+k} \kappa_1^1 t_{2-j,0}^1 t_{-2+k,-1}^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad + (-1)^{1-j} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{-5/2+k} \kappa_0^1 t_{2-j,0}^1 t_{-2+k,1}^1 \\ q^{-3/2+k} \kappa_1^1 t_{2-j,-1}^1 t_{-2+k,0}^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (-1)^{1-j} \kappa_1^1 q^{-2+k} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{-1/2} (t_{2-j,1}^1 t_{-2+k,0}^1 + t_{2-j,0}^1 t_{-2+k,1}^1) \\ q^{1/2} (t_{2-j,0}^1 t_{-2+k,-1}^1 + t_{2-j,-1}^1 t_{-2+k,0}^1) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= (-1)^{1-j} \kappa_1^1 t_{2-j,0}^1 \omega_k + \kappa_1^1 q^{-2+k} \omega_j^\dagger t_{-2+k,0}^1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mathcal{D}, \langle \omega_i \mid \omega_j \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}] \otimes [\mathcal{D}, \langle \omega_j \mid \omega_k \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}] \\ &= (\kappa_1^1)^2 \left((-1)^{1-i} t_{2-i,0}^1 \omega_j + q^{-2+j} \omega_i^\dagger t_{-2+j,0}^1 \right) \otimes \left((-1)^{1-j} t_{2-j,0}^1 \omega_k + q^{-2+k} \omega_j^\dagger t_{-2+k,0}^1 \right) \\ &= (\kappa_1^1)^2 \left((-1)^{i+j} t_{2-i,0}^1 \omega_j \otimes t_{2-j,0}^1 \omega_k + (-1)^i t_{2-i,0}^1 \omega_j \otimes q^{-2+k} \omega_j^\dagger t_{-2+k,0}^1 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (-1)^j q^{-2+j} \omega_i^\dagger t_{-2+j,0}^1 \otimes t_{2-j,0}^1 \omega_k + q^{-4+j+k} \omega_i^\dagger t_{-2+j,0}^1 \otimes \omega_j^\dagger t_{-2+k,0}^1 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Summing over j , using the \mathcal{B} -centrality of $G = \sum_j \omega_j \otimes \omega_j^\dagger$ and Equation (3.11) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_j [\mathcal{D}, \langle \omega_i | \omega_j \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}] \otimes [\mathcal{D}, \langle \omega_j | \omega_k \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}] \\
&= (\kappa_1^1)^2 \left(\sum_j (-1)^{i+j} t_{2-i,0}^1 \omega_j t_{2-j,0}^1 \otimes \omega_k + (-1)^i q^{-2+k} t_{2-i,0}^1 t_{-2+k,0}^1 G \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \omega_i^\dagger \otimes \omega_k + q^{-2+k} \omega_i^\dagger \otimes \sum_j (-1)^j (\omega_j t_{2-j,0}^1)^\dagger t_{-2+k,0}^1 \right) \\
&= (\kappa_1^1)^2 \left((-1)^i q^{-2+k} t_{2-i,0}^1 t_{-2+k,0}^1 G + \omega_i^\dagger \otimes \omega_k \right),
\end{aligned}$$

where the explicit form of ω_j and the orthogonality relations Equation (3.8) give the vanishing of the two terms. From Equation (4.1) we also have

$$\langle \omega_i | \omega_k \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} = \delta_{ik} - (-1)^{i+k} t_{2-i,0}^1 t_{2-k,0}^{1*}$$

so

$$\sum_j [\mathcal{D}, \langle \omega_i | \omega_j \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}] \otimes [\mathcal{D}, \langle \omega_j | \omega_k \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}] = (\kappa_1^1)^2 (\delta_{ik} \sum_l \omega_l \otimes \omega_l^\dagger - \langle \omega_i | \omega_k \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} \sum_l \omega_l \otimes \omega_l^\dagger + \omega_i^\dagger \otimes \omega_k),$$

and writing

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{R} &:= \omega_i \otimes \sum_j [\mathcal{D}, \langle \omega_i | \omega_j \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}] \otimes [\mathcal{D}, \langle \omega_j | \omega_k \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}] \otimes \omega_k^\dagger \\
&= (\kappa_1^1)^2 (\delta_{ik} \omega_i \otimes \sum_l \omega_l \otimes \omega_l^\dagger - \omega_k \otimes \sum_l \omega_l \otimes \omega_l^\dagger + \omega_i \otimes \omega_i^\dagger \otimes \omega_k) \otimes \omega_k^\dagger \\
&= (\kappa_1^1)^2 (\omega_k \otimes \sum_l \omega_l \otimes \omega_l^\dagger - \omega_k \otimes \sum_l \omega_l \otimes \omega_l^\dagger + \omega_i \otimes \omega_i^\dagger \otimes \omega_k) \otimes \omega_k^\dagger \\
&= (\kappa_1^1)^2 \omega_i \otimes \omega_i^\dagger \otimes \omega_k \otimes \omega_k^\dagger = [2]_q \omega_i \otimes \omega_i^\dagger \otimes \omega_k \otimes \omega_k^\dagger,
\end{aligned}$$

we have $R = (1 \otimes (1 - \Psi) \otimes 1)(\tilde{R})$. to obtain the curvature tensor

$$\begin{aligned}
R &= \frac{[2]_q}{\alpha} \omega_i \otimes C \langle C | \omega_i^\dagger \otimes \omega_k \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} \otimes \omega_k^\dagger = \frac{[2]_q}{\alpha} \omega_i \otimes C \otimes (\langle \omega_i^\dagger | C_{(1)} \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} C_{(2)})^\dagger \\
&= \frac{[2]_q}{\alpha} \omega_i \otimes C \otimes C_{(2)}^\dagger \langle C_{(1)} | \omega_i^\dagger \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} \\
&= \frac{[2]_q}{2} q \omega_i \otimes C \otimes \begin{pmatrix} q^{-2} & 0 \\ 0 & -q^2 \end{pmatrix} \omega_i^\dagger. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

4.2 The Ricci and scalar curvature

We now have the pieces in place to compute the Ricci and scalar curvature of S_q^2 .

Proposition 4.2. *The Ricci curvature is given by*

$$\text{Ric} = {}_{\mathcal{B}} \langle R | G \rangle = \frac{[2]_q}{q^2 + q^{-2}} \omega_i \otimes \begin{pmatrix} q^{-4} & 0 \\ 0 & q^4 \end{pmatrix} \omega_i^\dagger = \frac{[2]_q}{q^2 + q^{-2}} \omega_i \otimes \omega_i^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} q^4 & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-4} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. We start by expanding the inner product $\text{Ric} = {}_{\mathcal{B}}\langle R | G \rangle$ defining the Ricci curvature to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ric} &= \frac{[2]_q}{2} q \omega_i \otimes C_{(1)\mathcal{B}} \langle C_{(2)} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} q^{-2} & 0 \\ 0 & -q^2 \end{pmatrix} \omega_i^\dagger | qE_{12} \otimes E_{21} + q^{-1}E_{21} \otimes E_{12} \rangle \\ &= \frac{[2]_q}{q^2 + q^{-2}} \omega_i \left(q^{-1}E_{12} {}_{\mathcal{B}}\langle E_{21} | \begin{pmatrix} q^{-2} & 0 \\ 0 & -q^2 \end{pmatrix} \omega_i^\dagger | qE_{21} \rangle | E_{12} \rangle \right. \\ &\quad + q^{-1}E_{12} {}_{\mathcal{B}}\langle E_{21} | \begin{pmatrix} q^{-2} & 0 \\ 0 & -q^2 \end{pmatrix} \omega_i^\dagger | q^{-1}E_{12} \rangle | E_{21} \rangle \\ &\quad - qE_{21} {}_{\mathcal{B}}\langle E_{12} | \begin{pmatrix} q^{-2} & 0 \\ 0 & -q^2 \end{pmatrix} \omega_i^\dagger | qE_{21} \rangle | E_{12} \rangle \\ &\quad \left. - qE_{21} {}_{\mathcal{B}}\langle E_{12} | \begin{pmatrix} q^{-2} & 0 \\ 0 & -q^2 \end{pmatrix} \omega_i^\dagger | q^{-1}E_{12} \rangle | E_{21} \rangle \right). \end{aligned}$$

where we have abbreviated the computation by taking inner products using

$$\tilde{G} = qE_{12} \otimes E_{21} + q^{-1}E_{21} \otimes E_{12}.$$

First, two of the four terms in our expression for Ric are zero as E_{12} and E_{21} are orthogonal for the left inner product as well. Next

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{\mathcal{B}}\langle \begin{pmatrix} q^{-2} & 0 \\ 0 & -q^2 \end{pmatrix} \omega_i^\dagger | E_{21} \rangle &= (-1)^i q^{3/2} t_{2-i,-1}^1 \\ {}_{\mathcal{B}}\langle \begin{pmatrix} q^{-2} & 0 \\ 0 & -q^2 \end{pmatrix} \omega_i^\dagger | E_{12} \rangle &= (-1)^{i+1} q^{-3/2} t_{2-i,1}^1 \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\text{Ric} = \frac{[2]_q}{q^2 + q^{-2}} \omega_i \otimes \left((-1)^{1+i} q^{-7/2} t_{2-i,1}^1 E_{12} {}_{\mathcal{B}}\langle E_{21} | E_{21} \rangle + (-1)^{i+1} q^{7/2} t_{2-i,-1}^1 E_{21} {}_{\mathcal{B}}\langle E_{12} | E_{12} \rangle \right).$$

Since

$${}_{\mathcal{B}}\langle E_{12} | E_{12} \rangle = q, \quad {}_{\mathcal{B}}\langle E_{21} | E_{21} \rangle = q^{-1}$$

we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ric} &= \frac{[2]_q}{q^2 + q^{-2}} \omega_i \otimes \left(q^{-9/2} (-1)^{1+i} t_{2-i,1}^1 E_{12} + q^{9/2} (-1)^{1+i} t_{2-i,-1}^1 E_{21} \right) \\ &= \frac{[2]_q}{q^2 + q^{-2}} \omega_i \otimes \begin{pmatrix} q^{-4} & 0 \\ 0 & q^4 \end{pmatrix} \omega_i^\dagger = \frac{[2]_q}{q^2 + q^{-2}} \omega_i \otimes \omega_i^\dagger \begin{pmatrix} q^4 & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-4} \end{pmatrix}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Observe that if $q = 1$ then the Ricci curvature is proportional to the line element $G = \sum_i \omega_i \otimes \omega_i^\dagger$. When $q \neq 1$, this relation breaks down and the metric on the Podleś sphere is not Einstein in the classical sense.

Proposition 4.3. *The scalar curvature $r = \langle G | \text{Ric} \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}$ is constant, given by*

$$r = \frac{[2]_q}{q^2 + q^{-2}} (q^{-6} + q^6) = [2]_q (1 + (q^{-2} - q^2)^2),$$

and as $q \rightarrow 1$ the scalar curvature converges to 2.

Proof. Again, this is a computation, with

$$\begin{aligned}
r &= \frac{[2]_q}{q^2 + q^{-2}} \left(q \langle E_{21} | \langle E_{12} | \omega_i \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} \begin{pmatrix} q^{-4} & 0 \\ 0 & q^4 \end{pmatrix} \omega_i^\dagger \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} + \langle E_{12} | \langle E_{21} | \omega_i \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} \begin{pmatrix} q^{-4} & 0 \\ 0 & q^4 \end{pmatrix} \omega_i^\dagger \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} \right) \\
&= \frac{[2]_q}{q^2 + q^{-2}} q \langle E_{21} | q^{-1/2} (-1)^{1+i} (t_{2-i,-1}^1)^* \begin{pmatrix} q^{-4} & 0 \\ 0 & q^4 \end{pmatrix} \omega_i^\dagger \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} \\
&\quad + \frac{[2]_q}{q^2 + q^{-2}} q^{-1} \langle E_{12} | q^{1/2} (-1)^{1+i} (t_{2-i,1}^1)^* \begin{pmatrix} q^{-4} & 0 \\ 0 & q^4 \end{pmatrix} \omega_i^\dagger \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} \\
&= \frac{[2]_q}{q^2 + q^{-2}} \left(q^6 (t_{2-i,-1}^1)^* t_{2-i,-1}^1 + q^{-6} (t_{2-i,1}^1)^* t_{2-i,1}^1 \right) \\
&= \frac{[2]_q}{q^2 + q^{-2}} (q^6 + q^{-6}).
\end{aligned}$$

The last expression follows from $(q^{-6} + q^6)(q^{-2} + q^2)^{-1} = (q^{-4} + q^4) - 1 = (q^{-2} - q^2)^2 + 1$. \square

Question Is there an inner product on the one-forms for which the scalar curvature is precisely $[2]_q$?

5 Weitzenböck formula

5.1 Dirac spectral triple

We will establish that $(\mathcal{B}, L^2(S^+ \oplus S^-, h), \mathcal{D})$ is a Dirac spectral triple by verifying conditions 1-4 of Definition 2.16. The first of these conditions is straightforward.

Lemma 5.1. *For $\rho \otimes \eta \in JT_{\mathcal{D}}^2$ we have the equality*

$$m \circ \Psi(\rho \otimes \eta) = e^{-\beta} m(G) \langle \rho^\dagger | \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}.$$

Moreover we have $\sigma(G) = G$ and $m \circ \sigma \circ \Psi = m \circ \Psi$.

Proof. We have that $\Psi T_{\mathcal{D}}^2 = X \oplus Y \oplus \text{span}(G)$, and $X \oplus Y \subset \ker m$ whereas the projection onto $\text{span}(G)$ is given by $e^{-\beta} |G\rangle \langle G|$. Therefore

$$m \circ \Psi(\rho \otimes \eta) = m(e^{-\beta} G \langle G | \rho \otimes \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{B}}) = e^{-\beta} m(G) \langle G | \rho \otimes \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} = e^{-\beta} m(G) \langle \rho^\dagger | \eta \rangle,$$

as claimed. The equality $\sigma(G) = G$ was noted in Remark 3.13. By definition of σ , we have $\sigma(X \oplus Y) = X \oplus Y$. Therefore $m \circ \sigma \circ \Psi = m \circ \Psi$. \square

We give the spinor bundles S^\pm the left inner products

$${}_{\mathcal{B}} \langle s_+ | t_+ \rangle = \Phi(|s_+\rangle \langle t_+|) = q s_+ t_+^*, \quad {}_{\mathcal{B}} \langle s_- | t_- \rangle = \Phi(|s_-\rangle \langle t_-|) = q^{-1} s_- t_-^*,$$

and observe that they restrict to \mathcal{B} -valued inner products on \mathcal{S}^\pm . Now let $\overleftarrow{\nabla}^{\mathcal{S}^\pm}$ be the left Grassmann connections of the bundles S^\pm for the left frames $s_{\pm 1/2, -} := q^{1/2} t_{\pm 1/2, -}^{1/2}$,

$s_{\pm 1/2,+} := q^{-1/2} t_{\pm 1/2,1/2}^{1/2}$, and $\nabla^s := \nabla^{s^+} \oplus \nabla^{s^-}$. Moreover the action of the Clifford algebra $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B})$ on $L^2(S, h)$ restricts to an action

$$c : \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{B}) \otimes_{\mathcal{B}} (\mathcal{S}^+ \oplus \mathcal{S}^-) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^+ \oplus \mathcal{S}^-,$$

of the Clifford algebra on spinors, which establishes condition 2 of Definition 2.16. We now verify condition 3 of Definition 2.16.

Lemma 5.2. *The Dirac operator \mathcal{D} satisfies $\mathcal{D} = c \circ \nabla^s : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow L^2(S, h)$.*

Proof. As Grassmann connections are always Hermitian, we use [MRLC, Proposition 2.24] in the computation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D} \begin{pmatrix} s \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} &= \sum_i [\mathcal{D}, {}_{\mathcal{B}}\langle s | s_{i,+} \rangle] \begin{pmatrix} s_{i,+} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + {}_{\mathcal{B}}\langle s | s_{i,+} \rangle \mathcal{D} \begin{pmatrix} s_{i,+} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \sum_i c \left({}_{\mathcal{B}}\langle \overleftarrow{\nabla}^{s^+}(s) | s_{i,+} \rangle \begin{pmatrix} s_{i,+} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) - c \left({}_{\mathcal{B}}\langle s | \overleftarrow{\nabla}^{s^+}(s_{i,+}) \rangle \begin{pmatrix} s_{i,+} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) + {}_{\mathcal{B}}\langle s | s_{i,+} \rangle \mathcal{D} \begin{pmatrix} s_{i,+} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \sum_i c \circ \overleftarrow{\nabla}^{s^+} \begin{pmatrix} s \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} - c \left({}_{\mathcal{B}}\langle s | s_{i,+} \rangle \begin{pmatrix} \overleftarrow{\nabla}^{s^+} s_{i,+} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) + {}_{\mathcal{B}}\langle s | s_{i,+} \rangle \mathcal{D} \begin{pmatrix} s_{i,+} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= c \circ \overleftarrow{\nabla}^{s^+} \begin{pmatrix} s \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and one can check the final equality by checking that $c \circ \overleftarrow{\nabla}^s$ and \mathcal{D} agree on frame elements using the formulae (5.3). The same argument holds for S^- as well, and we obtain

$$\mathcal{D} = c \circ (\overleftarrow{\nabla}^{s^+} + \overleftarrow{\nabla}^{s^-}) = c \circ \overleftarrow{\nabla}^s. \quad \square$$

Next we must check compatibility of the connections, meaning that for a one form ω and spinor s we have

$$\overleftarrow{\nabla}^s(c(\omega \otimes s)) = c \circ m \circ (\sigma \otimes 1)(\overrightarrow{\nabla}^G(\omega) \otimes s + \omega \otimes \overleftarrow{\nabla}^s(s))$$

where $\overrightarrow{\nabla}^G$ is the right Levi-Civita connection on one forms. Here σ is the generalised braiding from Definition 3.9.

We will compute connections and their squares on the module \mathcal{S} , prove the compatibility with the connection on $\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1$ and then prove the Weitzenböck formula. In all of these tasks we use the formulae

$$\partial_b(t_{1/2-k,1/2}^{1/2} t_{1/2-h,1/2}^{1/2*}) = \begin{cases} -q^{1/2} t_{1/2-k,1/2}^{1/2} t_{1/2-h,-1/2}^{1/2*} & b = e \\ q^{-1/2} t_{1/2-k,-1/2}^{1/2} t_{1/2-h,1/2}^{1/2*} & b = f \end{cases}, \quad (5.1)$$

$$\partial_b(t_{1/2-k,-1/2}^{1/2} t_{1/2-h,-1/2}^{1/2*}) = \begin{cases} q^{1/2} t_{1/2-k,1/2}^{1/2} t_{1/2-h,-1/2}^{1/2*} & b = e \\ -q^{-1/2} t_{1/2-k,-1/2}^{1/2} t_{1/2-h,1/2}^{1/2*} & b = f \end{cases} \quad (5.2)$$

and

$$\partial_e(t_{i,1/2}^{1/2}) = 0, \quad \partial_e(t_{i,-1/2}^{1/2}) = t_{i,1/2}^{1/2}, \quad \partial_f(t_{i,1/2}^{1/2}) = t_{i,-1/2}^{1/2}, \quad \partial_f(t_{i,-1/2}^{1/2}) = 0. \quad (5.3)$$

$$\partial_e \partial_f(t_{i,1/2}^{1/2}) = t_{i,1/2}^{1/2}, \quad \partial_f \partial_e(t_{i,-1/2}^{1/2}) = t_{i,-1/2}^{1/2}$$

$$\partial_e \partial_f(t_{i,1/2}^{1/2}(t_{l,1/2}^{1/2})^*) = q^{-1} t_{i,1/2}^{1/2}(t_{l,1/2}^{1/2})^* - q t_{i,1/2}^{1/2}(t_{l,-1/2}^{1/2})^*.$$

Using these formulae we can obtain the Grassmann connections on \mathcal{S}^\pm .

Lemma 5.3. *The left Grassmann connections $\overleftarrow{\nabla}^{s^-}$ and $\overleftarrow{\nabla}^{s^+}$ are given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \overleftarrow{\nabla}^{s^+} \begin{pmatrix} b_i s_{i,+} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} &= [\mathcal{D}, b_i] \otimes \begin{pmatrix} s_{i,+} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + b_i [\mathcal{D}, t_{i,1/2}^{1/2}(t_{l,1/2}^{1/2})^*] \otimes \begin{pmatrix} s_{l,+} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{-1/2} \partial_e(b_i) \\ q^{1/2} \partial_f(b_i) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} s_{i,+} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + b_i \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -t_{i,1/2}^{1/2}(t_{l,-1/2}^{1/2})^* \\ t_{i,-1/2}^{1/2}(t_{l,1/2}^{1/2})^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} s_{l,+} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \overleftarrow{\nabla}^{s^-} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_l s_{l,-} \end{pmatrix} &= [\mathcal{D}, c_l] \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ s_{l,-} \end{pmatrix} + c_l [\mathcal{D}, t_{l,-1/2}^{1/2}(t_{p,-1/2}^{1/2})^*] \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ s_{p,-} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{-1/2} \partial_e(c_l) \\ q^{1/2} \partial_f(c_l) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ s_{l,-} \end{pmatrix} + c_l \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_{l,1/2}^{1/2}(t_{p,-1/2}^{1/2})^* \\ -t_{l,-1/2}^{1/2}(t_{p,1/2}^{1/2})^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ s_{p,-} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Using the formulae for the connections we can check condition 4 of Definition 2.16.

Theorem 5.4. *For $[\mathcal{D}, b]a \in \Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1$ we have the compatibility*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D} \left([\mathcal{D}, b]a \begin{pmatrix} b_i s_{i,+} \\ c_l s_{l,-} \end{pmatrix} \right) &= c \left(\overleftarrow{\nabla}^s \left([\mathcal{D}, b]a \begin{pmatrix} b_i s_{i,+} \\ c_l s_{l,-} \end{pmatrix} \right) \right) \\ &= c \left((m \circ \sigma) \otimes 1 \left([\mathcal{D}, b]a \otimes \overleftarrow{\nabla}^s \begin{pmatrix} b_i s_{i,+} \\ c_l s_{l,-} \end{pmatrix} \right) \right) + c \left((m \circ \sigma) \otimes 1 \left(\overrightarrow{\nabla}^G([\mathcal{D}, b]a) \otimes \begin{pmatrix} b_i s_{i,+} \\ c_l s_{l,-} \end{pmatrix} \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

Proof. Let $b, a \in \mathcal{B}$ and define a one-form by

$$[\mathcal{D}, b]a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{-1/2} \partial_e(b)a \\ q^{1/2} \partial_f(b)a & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We compute the left hand side of Equation (5.4), ignoring elements of $\ker(m) \subset T_{\mathcal{D}}^2(\mathcal{B})$ as they act by zero on the spinor bundle. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &c \left(\overleftarrow{\nabla}^s \left([\mathcal{D}, b]a \begin{pmatrix} b_i s_{i,+} \\ c_l s_{l,-} \end{pmatrix} \right) \right) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} q \partial_e \partial_f(b)a & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} \partial_e \partial_f(b)a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_i s_{i,+} \\ c_l s_{l,-} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} q^2 \partial_f(b) \partial_e(a) b_i s_{i,+} + q^2 \partial_f(b) a \partial_e(b_i) s_{i,+} \\ q^{-2} \partial_e(b) \partial_f(a) c_l s_{l,-} + q^{-2} \partial_e(b) a \partial_f(c_l) s_{l,-} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

the last line following since $\partial_f(t_{l,-1/2}) = \partial_e(t_{i,1/2}) = 0$. Again omitting elements of $\ker(m)$ (which we recall is preserved by σ), the second term on the right hand side of Equation (5.4) is

$$\begin{aligned} & c \circ (m \circ \sigma) \otimes 1 \left(\overrightarrow{\nabla}^G([\mathcal{D}, b]a) \otimes \begin{pmatrix} b_i s_{i,+} \\ c_l s_{l,-} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= c \circ (m \circ \sigma) \otimes 1 \left(\left(G \partial_e \partial_f(b) a \begin{pmatrix} b_i s_{i,+} \\ c_l s_{l,-} \end{pmatrix} \right) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (t_{2-j,-1}^1)^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t_{2-j,-1}^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \partial_e(b) \partial_f(a) \right) \\ &+ c \circ (m \circ \sigma) \otimes 1 \left(\left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ (t_{2-j,1}^1)^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_{2-j,1}^1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \partial_f(b) \partial_e(a) \right) \begin{pmatrix} b_i s_{i,+} \\ c_l s_{l,-} \end{pmatrix} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Applying the braiding σ (recalling that σ maps $\ker(m)$ to itself) and then implementing the action of forms on \mathfrak{S} gives

$$\begin{aligned} & c \left((m \circ \sigma) \otimes 1 \left(\overrightarrow{\nabla}^G([\mathcal{D}, b]a) \otimes \begin{pmatrix} b_i s_{i,+} \\ c_l s_{l,-} \end{pmatrix} \right) \right) \\ &= c \left(G \partial_e \partial_f(b) a \begin{pmatrix} b_i s_{i,+} \\ c_l s_{l,-} \end{pmatrix} \right) + c \left(q^{-2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ (t_{2-j,1}^1)^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_{2-j,1}^1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \partial_e(b) \partial_f(a) \right) \\ &+ c \left(q^2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (t_{2-j,-1}^1)^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t_{2-j,-1}^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \partial_f(b) \partial_e(a) \right) \begin{pmatrix} b_i s_{i,+} \\ c_l s_{l,-} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} q \partial_e \partial_f(b) a + q^2 \partial_f(b) \partial_e(a) & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} \partial_e \partial_f(b) a + q^{-2} \partial_e(b) \partial_f(a) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_i s_{i,+} \\ c_l s_{l,-} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The first term on the right hand side of Equation (5.4) is

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mathcal{D}, b]a \otimes \overleftarrow{\nabla}^s \begin{pmatrix} b_i s_{i,+} \\ c_l s_{l,-} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{-1/2} \partial_e(b) a \\ q^{1/2} \partial_f(b) a & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{-1/2} \partial_e(c_l t_{l,-1/2}) t_{p,-1/2}^* \\ q^{1/2} \partial_f(c_l t_{l,-1/2}) t_{p,-1/2}^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ s_{p,-} \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{-1/2} \partial_e(b) a \\ q^{1/2} \partial_f(b) a & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{-1/2} \partial_e(b_i t_{i,1/2}) t_{k,1/2}^* \\ q^{1/2} \partial_f(b_i t_{i,1/2}) t_{k,1/2}^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} s_{k,+} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ (t_{2-j,1}^1)^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_{2-j,1}^1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \partial_f(b) a \partial_e(c_l t_{l,-1/2}) t_{p,-1/2}^* \right. \\ &+ \left. \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (t_{2-j,-1}^1)^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t_{2-j,-1}^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \partial_e(b) a \partial_f(c_l) t_{l,-1/2} t_{p,-1/2}^* \right) \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ s_{p,-} \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \left(\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ (t_{2-j,1}^1)^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_{2-j,1}^1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \partial_f(b) a \partial_e(b_i) t_{i,1/2} t_{k,1/2}^* \right. \\ &+ \left. \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (t_{2-j,-1}^1)^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t_{2-j,-1}^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \partial_e(b) a \partial_f(b_i) t_{i,1/2} t_{k,1/2}^* \right) \otimes \begin{pmatrix} s_{k,+} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

where simplification occurs in two terms due to orthogonality, the derivation rule, and $\partial_f(t_{l,-1/2}) = \partial_e(t_{i,1/2}) = 0$. Applying $\sigma \otimes 1$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sigma \otimes 1 \left([\mathcal{D}, b]a \otimes \overleftarrow{\nabla}^s \begin{pmatrix} b_i s_{i,+} \\ c_l s_{l,-} \end{pmatrix} \right) \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} q^2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (t_{2-j,-1}^1)^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t_{2-j,-1}^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} q^{1/2} \partial_f(b) a q^{-1/2} \partial_e(c_l t_{l,-1/2}) t_{p,-1/2}^* \\
&+ q^{-2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ (t_{2-j,1}^1)^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_{2-j,1}^1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} q^{-1/2} \partial_e(b) a q^{1/2} \partial_f(c_l) t_{l,-1/2} t_{p,-1/2}^* \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ s_{p,-} \end{pmatrix} \\
&+ \begin{pmatrix} q^2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (t_{2-j,-1}^1)^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t_{2-j,-1}^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} q^{1/2} \partial_f(b) a q^{-1/2} \partial_e(b_i) t_{i,1/2} t_{k,1/2}^* \\
&+ q^{-2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ (t_{2-j,1}^1)^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_{2-j,1}^1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} q^{-1/2} \partial_e(b) a \partial_f(b_i t_{i,1/2}) t_{k,1/2}^* \otimes \begin{pmatrix} s_{k,+} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

and then applying the action gives

$$c \left((m \circ \sigma) \otimes 1 \left([\mathcal{D}, b]a \otimes \overleftarrow{\nabla}^s \begin{pmatrix} b_i s_{i,+} \\ c_l s_{l,-} \end{pmatrix} \right) \right) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ q^{-2} \partial_e(b) a \partial_f(c_l) s_{l,-} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} q^2 \partial_f(b) a \partial_e(b_i) s_{i,+} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Combining these calculations yields the result. \square

Theorem 5.5. *The spectral triple $(\mathcal{B}, L^2(S, h), \mathcal{D})$ is a Dirac spectral triple relative to $(\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B}), \dagger, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle, \sigma)$. The connection Laplacian Δ^s of Equation (2.15) and the Dirac operator \mathcal{D} satisfy the Weitzenböck formula*

$$\mathcal{D}^2 = \Delta^s + c \circ m \circ (\sigma \otimes 1)(R^{\overleftarrow{\nabla}^s}).$$

Proof. We have verified the conditions of Definition 2.16, so $(\mathcal{B}, L^2(S, h), \mathcal{D})$ is a Dirac spectral triple over the braided Hermitian differential structure $(\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B}), \dagger, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle, \sigma)$. Therefore the connection Laplacian $\Delta^s : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow L^2(S, h)$ of Equation (2.15) is a well-defined operator. By Theorem 2.17, the operators \mathcal{D} and Δ^s are related via the Weitzenböck formula

$$\mathcal{D}^2 = \Delta^s + c \circ m \circ \sigma \otimes 1(R^{\overleftarrow{\nabla}^s}). \quad \square$$

5.2 Curvature of the spinor bundle and positivity of the Laplacian

We now further analyse the Weitzenböck formula for the Podleś sphere. Our first goal is to compute the curvature term $c \circ m \circ \sigma \otimes 1(R^{\overleftarrow{\nabla}^s})$.

Proposition 5.6. *The curvature of $\mathcal{S}^+ \oplus \mathcal{S}^-$ is given by*

$$R^{\overleftarrow{\nabla}^s} \begin{pmatrix} b_i s_{i,+} \\ c_l s_{l,-}^{1/2} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{q}{2} C \otimes \begin{pmatrix} -q^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & q \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_i s_{i,+} \\ c_l s_{l,-} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.5)$$

and its Clifford representation is given by

$$c \circ m \circ \sigma \otimes 1(R^{\overleftarrow{\nabla}^s}) = \frac{1}{q^{-2} + q^2} \begin{pmatrix} q^2 & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. To compute the curvature of $\overleftarrow{\nabla}^s$ we first observe that the computation

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \otimes \overleftarrow{\nabla}^{s^-}([\mathcal{D}, c_l t_{l,-1/2} t_{m,-1/2}^*] \otimes t_{m,-1/2}) &= [\mathcal{D}, c_l t_{l,-1/2} t_{m,-1/2}^*] \otimes [\mathcal{D}, t_{m,-1/2} t_{p,-1/2}^*] \otimes t_{p,-1/2} \\ &= c_l [\mathcal{D}, t_{l,-1/2} t_{m,-1/2}^*] \otimes [\mathcal{D}, t_{m,-1/2} t_{p,-1/2}^*] \otimes t_{p,-1/2} \end{aligned}$$

shows that the $[\mathcal{D}, c_l]$ term vanishes because it is multiplied by $v^*[\mathcal{D}, p]v = v^*p[\mathcal{D}, p]pv = 0$ where v is the stabilisation map defined by the frame, and $p = vv^*$. The same applies to the $\overleftarrow{\nabla}^{s^+}$ part of the computation, and we use this freely below. So we start by computing (throwing away elements of $\ker(m)$ and the $[\mathcal{D}, b_i]$ term at the last step)

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \otimes \overleftarrow{\nabla}^{s^+} \circ \overleftarrow{\nabla}^{s^+} \begin{pmatrix} b_i s_{i,+} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{-1/2} \partial_e(b_i) \\ q^{1/2} \partial_f(b_i) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \overleftarrow{\nabla}^{s^+} \begin{pmatrix} s_{i,+} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -b_i t_{i,1/2}^{1/2} (t_{k,-1/2}^{1/2})^* \\ b_i t_{i,-1/2}^{1/2} (t_{k,1/2}^{1/2})^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \overleftarrow{\nabla}^{s^+} \begin{pmatrix} s_{k,+} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & q^{-1/2} \partial_e(b_i) \\ q^{1/2} \partial_f(b_i) & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -t_{i,1/2}^{1/2} (t_{m,-1/2}^{1/2})^* \\ t_{i,-1/2}^{1/2} (t_{m,1/2}^{1/2})^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} s_{m,+} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -b_i t_{i,1/2}^{1/2} (t_{l,-1/2}^{1/2})^* \\ b_i t_{i,-1/2}^{1/2} (t_{l,1/2}^{1/2})^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -t_{l,1/2}^{1/2} (t_{m,-1/2}^{1/2})^* \\ t_{l,-1/2}^{1/2} (t_{m,1/2}^{1/2})^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} s_{m,+} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (t_{2-j,-1}^1)^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t_{2-j,-1}^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} -b_i s_{i,+} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Ignoring the kernel of m again (which is orthogonal to the image of $1 - \Psi$), using \mathcal{B} -linearity of the tensor product and the orthogonality relations gives

$$\begin{aligned} \overrightarrow{\nabla}^G \otimes 1 \circ \overleftarrow{\nabla}^{s^+} \begin{pmatrix} b_i s_{i,+} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} &= \overrightarrow{\nabla}^G([\mathcal{D}, b_i t_{i,1/2}^{1/2} (t_{k,1/2}^{1/2})^*]) \otimes \begin{pmatrix} s_{k,+} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= G \partial_e \partial_f (b_i t_{i,1/2}^{1/2} (t_{k,1/2}^{1/2})^*) \otimes \begin{pmatrix} s_{k,+} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that $\langle C | G \rangle = 0$, we see that this term does not contribute to the curvature, and applying $1 - \Psi$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} R^{\overleftarrow{\nabla}^{s^+}} \begin{pmatrix} b_i s_{i,+} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} &= \frac{1}{\alpha} C \left\langle C, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (t_{2-j,-1}^1)^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t_{2-j,-1}^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \otimes \begin{pmatrix} -b_i s_{i,+} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} C \otimes \begin{pmatrix} b_i s_{i,+} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

We continue with the negative spinors, computed much as the positive ones, and find

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 \otimes \overleftarrow{\nabla}^{s^-} \circ \overleftarrow{\nabla}^{s^-} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_l s_{l,-} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= c_l \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_{l,1/2}^{1/2} (t_{m,-1/2}^{1/2})^* \\ -t_{l,-1/2}^{1/2} (t_{m,1/2}^{1/2})^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_{m,1/2}^{1/2} (t_{p,-1/2}^{1/2})^* \\ -t_{m,-1/2}^{1/2} (t_{p,1/2}^{1/2})^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ s_{p,-} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

along with

$$\overrightarrow{\nabla}^G \otimes 1 \circ \overleftarrow{\nabla}^{s^-} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ c_l s_{l,-} \end{pmatrix} = G \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \partial_f \partial_e (c_l) s_{l,-} + q^{3/2} \partial_f (c_l) s_{l,+} + q^1 c_l s_{l,-} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Applying $1 - \Psi$ and combining the \mathcal{S}^- and \mathcal{S}^+ computations yields (5.5). Finally, observe that the action of $\sigma(C)$ is given by

$$\frac{-2q^{-1}}{q^2 + q^{-2}} \begin{pmatrix} q^3 & 0 \\ 0 & -q^{-3} \end{pmatrix}$$

and so

$$c \circ \sigma \otimes 1(R^{\overleftarrow{\nabla}^s}) = c \left(\sigma(C) \otimes \begin{pmatrix} -1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & q^2/2 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \frac{1}{q^2 + q^{-2}} \begin{pmatrix} q^2 & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-2} \end{pmatrix},$$

which completes the proof. \square

Using that

$$\mathcal{D}^2 \begin{pmatrix} b_i s_{i,+} \\ c_l s_{l,-} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} q \partial_e \partial_f (b_i) s_{i,+} + q^{-3/2} \partial_e (b_i) s_{i,-} + b_i s_{i,+} \\ q^{-1} \partial_f \partial_e (c_l) s_{l,-} + q^{3/2} \partial_f (c_l) s_{l,+} + c_l s_{l,-} \end{pmatrix},$$

the Weitzenböck formula now yields the explicit expression

$$\Delta^{\mathcal{S}} \begin{pmatrix} b_i s_{i,+} \\ c_l s_{l,-} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{q^2 + q^{-2}} \begin{pmatrix} q^{-2} & 0 \\ 0 & q^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b_i s_{i,+} \\ c_l s_{l,-} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} q \partial_e \partial_f (b_i) s_{i,+} + q^{-3/2} \partial_e (b_i) s_{i,-} \\ q^{-1} \partial_f \partial_e (c_l) s_{l,-} + q^{3/2} \partial_f (c_l) s_{l,+} \end{pmatrix},$$

for the connection Laplacian. By Theorem 5.5 and [NT, Proposition 3.1], the connection Laplacian differs from the action of the Casimir precisely by the action of the curvature. Finally we show that the Laplacian is positive, completing the analogy with the usual Lichnerowicz formula on the sphere.

Proposition 5.7. *The Laplacian $\Delta^{\mathcal{S}}$ is positive.*

Proof. By [MRC, Section 4.4], the result follows provided that for all $x, y \in S$, the divergence term $h(\langle \overrightarrow{\nabla}^G(\Omega^1 \langle \overleftarrow{\nabla}^s x | y \rangle) | G \rangle)$ vanishes where h is the Haar state of $SU_q(2)$.

First we compute

$$\langle \overrightarrow{\nabla}^G(\Omega^1 \langle \overleftarrow{\nabla}^s x | y \rangle) | G \rangle$$

$$= \text{Tr} \left(\begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \left(m \circ \vec{\nabla}^G(\langle \overleftarrow{\nabla}^s x | y \rangle) - m \circ \vec{\nabla}^G(\omega_j) \langle \omega_j | \langle \overleftarrow{\nabla}^s x | y \rangle \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} \right) \right),$$

and so it suffices to show that for all one-forms ω we have

$$h \left(\text{Tr} \left(\begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \left(m \circ \vec{\nabla}^G(\omega) \right) \right) \right) = 0. \quad (5.6)$$

Using (3.26) we find that

$$m \circ \vec{\nabla}^G([\mathcal{D}, b]a) = \begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \partial_e \partial_f(b)a + \begin{pmatrix} \partial_e(b) \partial_f(a) & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_f(b) \partial_e(a) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\partial_e \partial_f(b) = \partial_f \partial_e(b)$ for all $b \in \mathcal{B}$ we have

$$\partial_e \partial_f(b)a = \partial_e(\partial_f(b)a) - q \partial_f(b) \partial_e(a) = \partial_f(\partial_e(b)a) - q^{-1} \partial_e(b) \partial_f(a).$$

Thus

$$m \circ \vec{\nabla}^G([\mathcal{D}, b]a) = \begin{pmatrix} q \partial_f(\partial_e(b)a) & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} \partial_e(\partial_f(b)a) \end{pmatrix}$$

and so

$$h \left(\text{Tr} \left(\begin{pmatrix} q & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \left(m \circ \vec{\nabla}^G([\mathcal{D}, b]a) \right) \right) \right) = q^2 h(\partial_f(\partial_e(b)a)) + q^{-2} h(\partial_e(\partial_f(b)a)).$$

Finally, $h(t_{ij}^\ell) = \delta_{\ell,0}$, and so $h(\partial_e(c)) = h(\partial_f(c)) = 0$ for all $c \in \mathcal{B}$, and hence the divergence (5.6) vanishes, and [MRC, Corollary 4.9] gives the positivity of Δ^s . \square

Remark 5.8. For this example the coincidence between the action of the spinor curvature and 1/4 of the scalar curvature (which classically is $r = 2$) holds only in the classical limit $q = 1$.

A Uniqueness of Levi-Civita connection

In this appendix we present the uniqueness condition of Hermitian torsion-free σ - \dagger -bimodule connections in the context of Grassmann connections associated to an exact frame. The discussion of [MRLC] simplifies substantially in this setting, and in particular becomes more algebraic.

To prove the uniqueness of the Hermitian torsion-free connection, we need to accomplish two tasks. First we need to prove the differential structure is concordant, which we describe and prove next. Then we need to verify an injectivity condition, stated below in Theorem A.3.

Definition A.1. Let $(\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B}), \dagger, \Psi, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$ be an Hermitian differential structure. Define the projections $P := \Psi \otimes 1$ and $Q := 1 \otimes \Psi$ on $T_{\mathcal{D}}^3(\mathcal{B})$. The differential structure is concordant if $T_{\mathcal{D}}^3 = (\text{Im}(P) \cap \text{Im}(Q)) \oplus (\text{Im}(1-P) + \text{Im}(1-Q))$. Let Π be the projection onto $\text{Im}(P) \cap \text{Im}(Q)$.

Strictly speaking the Definition is stated for one-forms over a local algebra, and the polynomial algebra $\mathcal{O}(S_q^2)$ is not local. The completion of \mathcal{B} in the norm $\mathcal{B} \ni b \mapsto \|b\| + \|[\mathcal{D}, b]\|$ is local, and we can likewise complete the module of one-forms. We will ignore this distinction below for notational simplicity.

Lemma 3.6 and Proposition 3.7 give us a frame for the two-junk module

$$Z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{q^2 + q^{-2}}}G, \quad X_k, \quad Y_k, \quad k = -2, -1, 0, 1, 2.$$

The three projections on two-forms $P_Z = |Z\rangle\langle Z|$, $P_X = \sum_k |X_k\rangle\langle X_k|$ and $P_Y = \sum_k |Y_k\rangle\langle Y_k|$ are mutually orthogonal and the projection onto the junk submodule is $\Psi = P_Z + P_X + P_Y$.

Lemma A.2. *The projections P_Z, P_X, P_Y satisfy*

$$P_X \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes P_Y = P_Y \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes P_X = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} P_X \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes P_Z \circ P_Z \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes P_Y &= P_Y \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes P_Z \circ P_Z \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes P_X = 0 \\ P_X \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes P_X \circ (\Psi \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes \Psi - P_X \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes P_X - P_Y \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes P_Y) &= 0 \\ P_Y \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes P_Y \circ (\Psi \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes \Psi - P_X \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes P_X - P_Y \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes P_Y) &= 0 \\ P_Y \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes P_Y \circ P_Z \otimes 1 &= P_X \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes P_X \circ P_Z \otimes 1 = 0 \\ P_X \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes P_Z \circ P_Y \otimes 1 &= P_Y \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes P_Z \circ P_X \otimes 1 = 0 \\ P_Z \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes P_Z \circ P_X \otimes 1 &= P_Z \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes P_Z \circ P_Y \otimes 1 = 0 \\ (P_X \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes P_X)^2 &= P_X \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes P_X, \quad (P_Y \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes P_Y)^2 = P_Y \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes P_Y, \\ (P_Z \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes P_X)^2 &= \frac{q^2}{q^2 + q^{-2}}(P_Z \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes P_X), \quad (P_Z \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes P_Y)^2 = \frac{q^{-2}}{q^2 + q^{-2}}(P_Z \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes P_Y) \\ (P_Z \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes P_Z)^2 &= \frac{1}{q^2 + q^{-2}}P_Z \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes P_Z. \end{aligned}$$

If we write $V_{AB} = P_A \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes P_B$ then

$$\Psi \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes \Psi = V_{ZZ} + V_{ZX} + V_{XZ} + V_{ZY} + V_{YZ} + V_{XX} + V_{YY}$$

and

$$(\Psi \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes \Psi)^n = \frac{1}{(q^2 + q^{-2})^n} (V_{ZZ} + q^{2n}(V_{ZX} + V_{XZ}) + q^{-2n}(V_{ZY} + V_{YZ})) + V_{XX} + V_{YY}.$$

Hence

$$\Pi = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Psi \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes \Psi)^n = P_X \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes P_X + P_Y \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes P_Y$$

and the differential structure of the Podleś sphere is concordant.

Proof. The algebraic relations are all simple if tedious verifications.

To compute the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (P_Z \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes P_Z)^n$, we first note that $\langle \rho^\dagger | \omega_j \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} \omega_j^\dagger = \rho$, which allows us to compute

$$P_Z \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes P_Z(\rho \otimes \eta \otimes \tau) = Z e^{-\beta/2} \otimes \rho \langle \eta^\dagger | \tau \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} e^{-\beta/2}.$$

Repeating we find

$$(P_Z \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes P_Z)^2(\rho \otimes \eta \otimes \tau) = Z e^{-3\beta/2} \otimes \rho \langle \eta^\dagger | \tau \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} e^{-3\beta/2},$$

and as $e^{-\beta} = (q^2 + q^{-2})^{-1} < 1$, we readily see that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (P_Z \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes P_Z)^n = 0$. The formula for $(\Psi \otimes 1 \circ 1 \otimes \Psi)^n$ is then just a consequence of the algebraic relations. The concordance is a consequence of [MRLC, Proposition 4.13]. \square

We now turn to the injectivity condition. In this paper we are concerned with the Hermitian torsion-free \dagger -bimodule Grassmann connection $\overrightarrow{\nabla}^G$ associated to the exact frame (ω_j) . We rephrase the uniqueness condition of [MRLC, Theorem 5.14] for this particular case. In order to do this we require the bimodule isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \overrightarrow{\alpha} : T_{\mathcal{D}}^3 &\rightarrow \overrightarrow{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{B}}^*(\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1, T_{\mathcal{D}}^2), & \overrightarrow{\alpha}(\omega \otimes \eta \otimes \tau)(\rho) &:= \omega \otimes \eta \langle \tau^\dagger | \rho \rangle \\ \overleftarrow{\alpha} : T_{\mathcal{D}}^3 &\rightarrow \overleftarrow{\text{Hom}}_{\mathcal{B}}^*(\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1, T_{\mathcal{D}}^2), & \overleftarrow{\alpha}(\eta \otimes \omega \otimes \tau)(\rho) &:= \langle \rho^\dagger | \eta \rangle \omega \otimes \tau, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where $\rho, \eta, \tau, \omega \in \Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1$.

We denote by $\mathcal{Z}(M)$ the centre of a \mathcal{B} -bimodule M .

Theorem A.3. *Let $(\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B}), \dagger, \Psi, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$ be the concordant Hermitian differential structure of the Podleś sphere. If the braiding $\sigma : T_{\mathcal{D}}^2 \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{D}}^2$ is such that the map*

$$\overrightarrow{\alpha} + \sigma^{-1} \circ \overleftarrow{\alpha} : \mathcal{Z}(\text{Im}(\Pi)) \rightarrow \overleftarrow{\text{Hom}}(\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1, T_{\mathcal{D}}^2)$$

is injective,

then $\overrightarrow{\nabla}^G$ is the unique Hermitian torsion-free σ - \dagger -bimodule connection on $\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B})$.

Proof. Noting that \dagger -concordance, [MRLC, Definition 4.30], is automatic for a Grassmann connection of an exact frame, [MRLC, Remark 4.31], the statement follows from [MRLC, Theorem 5.14]. \square

To check the injectivity condition of Theorem A.3, we first prove a few simple lemmas that help us identify the centre of the module $\text{Im}(\Pi)$.

Lemma A.4. *The commutant of the Podleś sphere \mathcal{B} in $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{O}(SU_q(2))$ is the scalar multiples of the identity.*

Proof. Every element of $\mathcal{O}(SU_q(2))$ is a linear combination of $a^i b^j (b^*)^k$ and $(a^*)^i b^j (b^*)^k$ for $i, j, k \in \mathbb{N}$. Up to scalar multiples, the generators of \mathcal{B} are bb^*, ab, b^*a^* . For scalars $\lambda_{ijk}, \rho_{ijk}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\sum_{ijk} \lambda_{ijk} a^i b^j (b^*)^k + \rho_{ijk} (a^*)^i b^j (b^*)^k, bb^* \right] &= \sum_{ijk} [\lambda_{ijk} a^i + \rho_{ijk} (a^*)^i, bb^*] b^j (b^*)^k \\ &= bb^* \sum_{ijk} (\lambda_{ijk} (q^{2i} - 1) a^i + \rho_{ijk} (q^{-2i} - 1) (a^*)^i) b^j (b^*)^k. \end{aligned}$$

The linear independence of the monomials $a^i b^j (b^*)^k$ and $(a^*)^i b^j (b^*)^k$ shows that the sum vanishes only when all coefficients $\lambda_{ijk}, \rho_{ijk}$ vanish except perhaps $i = 0$. Then consider

$$\left[\sum_{jk} \lambda_{0jk} b^j (b^*)^k, ab \right] = \sum_{jk} [\lambda_{0jk} b^j (b^*)^k, ab] = ab \sum_{jk} (q^{-j-k} - 1) \lambda_{0jk} b^j (b^*)^k,$$

and again the commutator vanishes only when all $\lambda_{0jk} = 0$ except perhaps $j = k = 0$. Hence only scalars in \mathcal{A} commute with all of \mathcal{B} . The same conclusion holds for local and C^* -completions by approximation. \square

Since $\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B}) \subset \mathcal{O}(SU_q(2))^{\oplus 2}$ does not intersect the scalars we have

Corollary A.5. *The centre of $\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B})$ is $\{0\}$.*

Lemma A.6. *The linear maps $\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y} : T_{\mathcal{D}}^3 \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B})$ given on simple tensors $\rho \otimes \eta \otimes \tau \in T_{\mathcal{D}}^3$ by*

$$\mathbb{X}(\rho \otimes \eta \otimes \tau) = \sum_{k=-2, -2, 0, 1, 2} (t_{k2}^2)^* \langle X_k | \rho \otimes \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} \tau$$

and

$$\mathbb{Y}(\rho \otimes \eta \otimes \tau) = \sum_{k=-2, -2, 0, 1, 2} (t_{k,-2}^2)^* \langle Y_k | \rho \otimes \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} \tau$$

are bimodule maps, and so map the centre $\mathcal{Z}T_{\mathcal{D}}^3$ to the centre $\mathcal{Z}\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B}) = \{0\}$.

Proof. We prove the result for \mathbb{X} as the argument for \mathbb{Y} is the same. Let $\rho \otimes \eta \otimes \tau$ be a simple tensor and write $\rho = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \rho_+ \\ \rho_- & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and similarly for η, τ . For $b \in \mathcal{B}$ we have $\mathbb{X}(\rho \otimes \eta \otimes \tau b) = \mathbb{X}(\rho \otimes \eta \otimes \tau) b$ by definition. Using Lemma 3.6 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{X}(b\rho \otimes \eta \otimes \tau) &= \sum_{k=-2, -2, 0, 1, 2} (t_{k2}^2)^* \langle X_k | b\rho \otimes \eta \rangle_{\mathcal{B}} \tau = q \sum_{k=-2, -2, 0, 1, 2} (t_{k2}^2)^* t_{k2}^2 b\rho_- \eta_- \tau \\ &= b\rho_- \eta_- \tau = b\mathbb{X}(\rho \otimes \eta \otimes \tau). \end{aligned}$$

Hence \mathbb{X} is a bimodule map, and so maps centres to centres. \square

Corollary A.7. *For $q \in (0, 1)$ we have $\mathcal{Z}\text{Im}(\Pi) = \mathcal{Z}\Pi(T_{\mathcal{D}}^3) = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Let $\rho \otimes \eta \otimes \tau$ be a simple tensor and again write $\rho = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \rho_+ \\ \rho_- & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and similarly for η, τ . Then, using the orthogonality relations, the projection onto $\text{Im}(\Pi)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi(\rho \otimes \eta \otimes \tau) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \rho_- & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \eta_- & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \tau_- & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \rho_+ \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \eta_+ \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \tau_+ \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \sum_{j,k=-1,0,1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ (t_{j1}^1)^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t_{j1}^1 \rho_- \eta_- \tau_- (t_{k,-1}^1)^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ t_{k,-1}^1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j,k=-1,0,1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & (t_{j,-1}^1)^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_{j,-1}^1 \rho_+ \eta_+ \tau_+ (t_{k1}^1)^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_{k1}^1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence a linear combination $\Pi(\sum_i \rho^i \otimes \eta^i \otimes \tau^i)$ is zero if and only if both $\sum_i \rho_-^i \eta_-^i \tau_-^i = 0$ and $\sum_i \rho_+^i \eta_+^i \tau_+^i = 0$. These observations together with Lemma A.6 prove the claim. \square

Theorem A.8. *The \dagger -bimodule connection $(\overrightarrow{\nabla}^G, \sigma)$ is the unique Hermitian torsion-free \dagger -bimodule connection on $\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1(\mathcal{B})$.*

Proof. We need to show that for $q \in (0, 1]$ the linear map

$$\overrightarrow{\alpha} + \sigma^{-1} \circ \overleftarrow{\alpha} : \mathcal{Z}\text{Im}(\Pi) \rightarrow \overleftarrow{\text{Hom}}(\Omega_{\mathcal{D}}^1, T_{\mathcal{D}}^2),$$

is injective. For $q \in (0, 1)$ this follows from Corollary A.7. For $q = 1$, [MRLC, Lemma 6.11] shows that $\overrightarrow{\alpha} + \sigma^{-1} \circ \overleftarrow{\alpha}$ is injective. \square

Conflict of interest The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

Data availability This work uses no data.

References

- [AK] K. Aguilar, J. Kaad, *The Podleś sphere as a spectral metric space*, J. Geom. Phys., **133** (2018), 260–278.
- [BM2] E. Beggs, S. Majid, *Quantum Riemannian Geometry*, 1st ed., Springer Berlin, 2020.
- [BGJ2] J. Bhowmick, D. Goswami, S. Mukhopadhyay, *Levi-Civita connections for a class of spectral triples*, Lett. Math. Phys. **110** (No. 2) (2020), 835–884.
- [BGJ1] J. Bhowmick, D. Goswami, S. Joardar, *A new look at Levi-Civita connection in noncommutative geometry*, Int. J. Geom. Mth. Mod. Phys. **18** (No. 7) (2021), 2150105.
- [BC91] B. Blackadar, J. Cuntz, *Differential Banach norms and smooth subalgebras of C^* -algebras*, J. Operator Theory, **26** (1991), 255–282.
- [B98] B. Blackadar, *K-theory for operator algebras*, 2nd edition, CUP, 1998.
- [C] A. Connes, *Noncommutative Geometry*, Academic Press, 1994.

- [DHLS] L. Dabrowski, P. Hajac, G. Landi, P. Siniscalco, *Metrics and pairs of left and right connections on bimodules*, J. Math. Phys. **37**, no. 9, (1996), 4635–4646.
- [DS] L. Dabrowski, A. Sitarz, *Dirac operator on the standard Podleś sphere*, Banach Centre Publ. **61** (2003), 49–58.
- [DMM] M. Dubois-Violette, J. Madore, T. Masson, *On curvature in noncommutative geometry*, J. Math. Phys. **37**, no. 8, (1996), 4089–4102.
- [DM] M. Dubois-Violette, P. Michor, *Connections on central bimodules*, J. Geom. Phys., **20** (1996), 218–232.
- [FL02] M. Frank, D. Larson, *Frames in Hilbert C^* -modules and C^* -algebras*, J. Operator Th., **48** (2) (2002), 273–314.
- [H] T. Hadfield, *Twisted cyclic homology of all Podleś quantum spheres*, J. Geom. Phys. **57** (no. 2) (2007), 339–351.
- [HK] T. Hadfield, U. Krähmer, *Twisted homology of quantum $SL(2)$ - Part II*, J. K-Theory **6** (2010), no. 1, 69–98.
- [H05] D. Huybrechts, *Complex Geometry, An Introduction*, Springer-Verlag, 2005.
- [KPW] T. Kajiwara, C. Pinzari, Y. Watatani, *Jones index theory for Hilbert C^* -bimodules and its equivalence with conjugation theory*, J. Funct. Anal. **215** (2004), 1–49.
- [KS] A. Klimyk, K. Schmüdgen, *Quantum Groups and Their Representations*, Springer, 1997.
- [K] U. Krähmer, *The Hochschild cohomology ring of the standard Podleś sphere*, arXiv:0806.1615.
- [KRS] U. Krähmer, A. Rennie, R. Senior, *A residue formula for the fundamental Hochschild 3-cocycle for $SU_q(2)$* , J. Lie Theory, **22** (no. 2) (2012), 557–585.
- [KW] U. Krähmer, E. Wagner, *A residue formula for the fundamental Hochschild class on the Podleś sphere*, J. K-Theory, **12** no. 2, (2010), 257–271.
- [KLS] M. Khalkhali, G. Landi, W. van Suijlekom, *Holomorphic structures on the quantum projective line*, Int. Math. Res. Notes., **2011** (No. 4), (2011), 851–884.
- [Lance] E.C. Lance, *Hilbert C^* -modules, A toolkit for operator algebraists*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995, x+130.
- [Lan] G. Landi, *An Introduction to noncommutative spaces and their geometries*, Lecture Notes in Physics: Monographs, m51, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, 1997.
- [LM] H. B. Lawson, M. L. Michelsohn, *Spin Geometry*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1989.

- [L96] J.-L. Loday, *Cyclic Homology*, second ed., Springer, Berlin, 1996.
- [LRV] S. Lord, A. Rennie, J. Várilly, *Riemannian manifolds in noncommutative geometry*, J. Geom. Phys. **62** (2012), 1611–1638.
- [M] S. Majid, *Noncommutative Riemannian and spin geometry of the standard q -sphere*, Commun. Math. Phys. **256** no. 2, (2005), 255–285.
- [MRLC] B. Mesland, A. Rennie, *Existence and uniqueness of the Levi-Civita connection on noncommutative differential forms*, arXiv:2403.13735.
- [MRC] B. Mesland, A. Rennie, *Curvature and Weitzenböck formula for spectral triples*, arXiv:2404.07957.
- [MRS] B. Mesland, A. Rennie, W. van Suijlekom, *Curvature for differentiable Hilbert modules and Kasparov modules*, Adv. Math., **402** (2022), 108128.
- [NT] S. Neshveyev, L. Tuset, *A local index formula for the quantum sphere*, Comm. Math. Phys., **254** (2005), 323–341.
- [P] P. Podleś, *The classification of differential structures on quantum 2-spheres*, Commun. Math. Phys., **150** (1992), 167–179.
- [RS] A. Rennie, R. Senior, *The resolvent cocycle in twisted cyclic cohomology and a local index formula for the Podleś sphere*, J. Noncommut. Geom., **8** (no. 1) (2014), 1–43.
- [SW] K. Schmüdgen, E. Wagner, *Dirac operator and a twisted cyclic cocycle on the standard Podleś quantum sphere*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **574** (2004), 219–235.
- [S] R. Senior, *Modular Spectral Triples and KMS States in Noncommutative Geometry*, PhD thesis, ANU, 2011.
- [W] E. Wagner *On the noncommutative spin geometry of the standard Podleś sphere and index computations*, J. Geom. Phys. **59** (2009), 998–1016.